

“Do This In Remembrance of Me”

Volume Three Part One

The Career Rapist

Presented By the National Institute of

The Study of Violence

Never disregard scratches on a man's face or body or blood in the car!

As you go about your day you must remember that every time you look into the face of a man you have a 100% chance of looking into the face of a rapist. No, of course not all men are rapists, but if you are serious about your safety, you should assume the man you see is a rapist and act accordingly. The murders we discuss in the Career Rapist prove this assertion.

When you look into the face of a man you must understand you do not know who he is. You are not privy to his thoughts - see Ashley Gray age 5, Doris Castro Calzada, age 11, Elizabeth Byrd age 8, and Dr. Michelle Saint Romain, 26. You have no idea of his experiences. You are not with him every hour of the day. You do not know how much pornography he watches on television or his choice of magazines. You do not know his fantasies. You do not know that he has hundreds of panties hiding in the garage that he visits every weekend. You cannot associate his education to his proclivity to rape nor can you associate his patriotism to his desire to murder. Why should it be a rare phenomenon for a CEO to be a rapist? Why should it be a rare phenomenon for a doctor to be a rapist? Hundreds of rapes occur every year and many of the rapes are not reported. Someone must be raping the women in this country, why not a CEO or doctor? Hundreds of young girls are raped on college campuses every year. Their assailants are not imprisoned. When is the first or last time you heard of a male student being imprisoned for raping a female student? Therefore, their assailants are still at large where many become graduate students. Why can't their assailants be working in one of the largest corporations in the country? If you want to be safe and live to old age you must expand your thinking.

The next time you look in a man's face you will realize how great the possibility is that you are looking into the face of a rapist because the number of rapists in the country is growing. Not all men are rapists, but we know that the vast majority of rapists are men, which is unsettling to say the least.

Any time you look into the face of a man you must realize you are looking into the face of a rapist. I do not care if the man is White; the young and White kill as often and with as much frequency as the old and Black. Nothing precludes a man from being a rapist. Nothing! Any time you look into the face of a man you must realize you have a 100% chance of looking into the face of a rapist. This is a lifesaving assumption. To think counter to this assumption is to put your life in that man's hands. Accepting this fact may save your life.

In 1995 Donna Denise Arrington looked into the face of James Darnell Sydnor 16, of Greenbelt, Maryland as he was walking down the road. She stopped her car and asked him for directions. In less than an hour after asking for directions she was dead. She was burned alive. Look in no man's face and make the assumption that he is not harmless. If he is breathing a man is not harmless. Kenneth Alfonso Walker, 29, of Tampa, Florida spent his time watching women. He was not watching the women because he admired them or he was their protector he was watching the women because he was waiting for an opportunity to kill them. In 1993 he struck four times. He murdered four women as they walked home after leaving a bar. As usual the victims were blame for their murders by the press and police. If you had not been out at night, you would not have been murdered. No one said the women would not have lost their lives if Mr. Walker had more respect for life and had not turned his mind into a cistern of depravity. Denise Clark, 28, Latrendia S. Marion, 25, Faye L. Wilson, 40, and Lillie Ruth Franklin, 62, were murdered between September 1991 and February 1992. In six months the women were stabbed, raped, and had their throats cut. The press dehumanized the victims and made their murders less shocking. Mr. Walker was charged with the murder of Lillie Franklin February 13, 1992. He was convicted January 1993 of robbery and murder. He was sentenced to life in prison with parole possible after 25 years. The deaths of the other women were apparently unimportant. Why waste the money on prosecuting Kenneth Alfonso Walker any further? When the murder of Vanessa Marcotte in Massachusetts was announced in 2016 police said the suspect likely had scratches or cuts on his face, neck, hands and arms that day. Ms. Marcotte fought her attacker. In 1998 a career rapist came home and his wife asked about the blood on the car he told her he hit a deer. This man was later convicted of murdering 12 women in his state.

“Do This In Remembrance of Me”

Volume Three Part One

The Career Rapist

Presented By the National Institute of

The Study of Violence

During an August 31, 1982 sentencing hearing attorney Roger Merry of Belleville, Florida argued before a full courtroom that his client, Amos Smith, 30, should not be sent to prison for being what society made him. Attorney Roger Merry said his client should not be sent to prison “for being a victim of the culture.” He said, “Hostility toward women, I think, is something that is culturally instilled in men. It’s part of our culture that has been for hundreds of years that violence against women is not unacceptable....that view has changed dramatically” in recent years, but “a lot of men have trouble” coping with the change.” Therefore, my client should not be punished for a flaw in his upbringing. Attorney Roger Merry was essentially arguing that violence against women is acceptable in American culture so this should mitigate Amos Smith’s punishment. His client, Amos Smith, was convicted of attacking and raping a woman December 1981 after helping her pushed her stalled car to her apartment. He just happened to have a gun on him to facilitate the violence. Attorney Merry asked Dane County Circuit Judge P. Charles Jones to place Smith on probation for five years, with the first year to be spent in the county jail. Judge Jones said such a sentence would be “an insult to the community.” He sentenced Amos Smith to concurrent 10-year sentences on two second-degree sexual assault counts and a consecutive four-year sentence for burglary with intent to commit a felony.

What we do not know is this; is the Amos Smith, 30, that attorney Roger Merry defended but was convicted in 1982 in Florida for assault and rape the same man, Amos Smith, 39, who was convicted in Georgia of the 1999 rape and murder of Annetta Livingston, 69, of Warner Robins, Georgia? What we do know is neighboring states share parolees and felons operating across their borders.

This book is part of a fifteen year study into the murders of 20,000 American women. It contains extremely sensitive information; our apologies and condolences to the reader if this information is regarding a relative, love one and or friend. Although this book contains in certain areas explicit descriptions of violence, extreme care was taken to allow the victims as much dignity as possible. As you read you will realize these descriptions were made to expand our understanding of violence and to prevent violence against women and children and violence in our communities.

Unless otherwise indicated, all Scripture quotations are taken from the King James Version of the Bible. Scripture quotations are taken from the Good News Bible Old and New Testament, copyright by The American Bible Society, New York, New York. Used by expressed permission. Also presented by the National Institute of the Study of Violence: Copyright Gloria G. Lee Second Printing Third Edition January 2014

Do This in Remembrance of Me

Volume One The Deadliest Moment
Volume Two Murder in the Family
Volume Three The Career Rapist
Volume Four The Recidivist
Volume Five The Ultimate Punishment
Volume SixAnd Suddenly
Volume Seven The Silent Killer

The Wall

God's Encouraging Word

You Are The Prophet of Your Life

The Devil's Cauldron

Murdered Voices

It Is Time You Start Walking With God

If You Believe In God You Do Not Belong In Prison

Women of Courage

Murdered Voices Library of Congress Control Number: 2002096970

The Wall Library of Congress Control Number: 2002096969

Do This In Remembrance of Me Library of Congress Control Number: 2002117872

Editing: Mrs. Valerie Cumming, MA author and teacher, Mr. Jonathan Lee-Ames Hop, MA

Cover Design Idea: by Jonathan Lee-Ames Hop, MA

No part of this book shall be reproduced, in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the copyright owner and publisher of this book. If you purchased this book without a cover you should be aware that this book is stolen property. It was reported as "unsold and destroyed" to the publisher and neither the author nor the publisher has received any payment for this "Stripped Book." No patent liability is assumed with respect to the use of the information contained herein although every precaution has been taken. In the preparation of this book, the publisher and author assume no responsibility for errors or omissions. Neither is any liability assumed for damages resulting from the use of this information.

Caution

This book is part of a study into the murders of 20,000 American Women. It contains extremely sensitive information; our apologies and condolences to the reader if this information is regarding a relative, love one and or friend. Although this book contains in certain areas explicit descriptions of violence, extreme care was taken to allow the victims as much dignity as possible. As you read you will realize these descriptions were made to expand our understanding of violence and to prevent violence against women and children and violence in our communities.

We request that you do not read this book if you have been a victim of violence or have a male or female relative or child that has been a victim of violence. There is no way to escape the pain this book will produce. This information was difficult to research, to retrieve and extremely difficult to discuss in writing. The information is overwhelming and it is not possible to read this book without enduring excruciating pain. Pain is not our objective nor is sensationalism. Our objective is to bring about a permanent change in the status of the American woman and the women of the world. To meet this objective, we provide penetrating information. All too often, information regarding the death of an American female is taken as an isolated event, thereby making her death digestible and easy for the women of this country to accept. Although the murders are important, over time, the murders become inconsequential because many women think the murders have nothing to do with one's everyday life. Therefore, the full impact of the number of women murdered in this county is not appreciated. Collectively, the murder of over 200,000 American women is important when one can sit and read the cases of 20,000 women from this group. The information in this book is not being offered to sensationalize or exploit the death of these women. The information is being provided to bring recognition to their existence and their untimely deaths. We believe the women who paid the ultimate price for freedom should be immortalized. These women should never be forgotten.

This book was written in deference to the millions of women in the world who have lost their lives merely because they were women. Think of the girls in India who are raped so males can remain in control. If you have read the news out of Nigeria, you will understand males are fighting all over the world to remain in control. More than 300 school girls were taken from their families merely because they were females trying to learn to read. No one knows if these children will ever be returned home again. Women must wake to the fight for sovereignty.

Extreme care was taken in discussing the deaths of more than 20,000 American women. It was our wish to grant the victims as much dignity as humanly possible yet alert the women of this country of the horrors of their deaths. But how much dignity does a victim have when it is known she was stabbed more than 153 times? Again, we feel that you should not read this book if you have been a victim of violence or have a male or female relative or child who has been a victim of violence. If you choose to proceed we ask you to be brave, strength will come. There is much to learn. You will not put this book down without gaining knowledge. The Career Rapist especially will be hard reading, but as women we must stand together and face the monsters in life so we can live better lives and secure a future for our children. Although *Do This in Remembrance of Me* discusses the murder of 20,000 American women, the books are about life not death.

As you read the following information, please remain cognizant that you are reading case histories of crimes committed all over the United States. No one state has the same definition or

punishment for any degree of murder, manslaughter or rape. The proof and qualification for an insanity defense is different in most states, as are the requirements for the death penalty. The definitions of mitigating and aggravating circumstances are defined differently by state, as is the right of a judge to override the jury during sentencing in death penalty cases. Also, the requirement for probation is different per state, as is the punishment for juveniles and individuals who are mentally handicapped and commit capital offenses. When you read Volume Three: *The Career Rapist* and Volume Four: *The Recidivist*, you will then appreciate this difference.

You must also remember American law is not static. Our laws have been made to yield to technology that has allowed for the release of men from prison. With respect to all the data in the four volumes of *Do This in Remembrance of Me*, the persons listed as murderers or rapists or in the category "Murderer Status: " were individuals who at the time were apprehended, arrested, charged, tried, convicted, or suspected or alleged by the police in the murder of the women mentioned. During the course of time, some of these individuals may have been exonerated and the information was not available or recorded in the source documents used during the development of the books *Do This in Remembrance of Me*. We took extreme care to list as many convictions and as many exonerations and overturned judgments that we could find in the source documents used. The two categories, "murdered by stranger" and "murdered by unknown," are not clearly defined as the categories when used by the United States Justice Department. Our "stranger" category is only used when the murderer is known but the relationship was not clear in the source document. The category "murderer unknown" was used when there was no information in the source document regarding the relationship of the murderer and victim, the murderer had not been apprehended, or the victim was unidentified at the time. In some situations, some of the cases listed under "unknown" may now have been solved.

We did not intrude upon the lives of the survivors as mentioned in the foreword. It is not our intention to sensationalize or to make murderers celebrities. You may recognize several women who were murdered by men now made famous by the newspapers. We did not use the slogan names of these men. We saw no need to further the agenda of newspapers.

When the last name of a person is in brackets, this means that we were not certain of the spelling of the last name or that we used the last name of the parent because we only knew the first name of the victim. The word "mother" across from the victim's name means in most cases that the woman left behind young children when she died. The word "see" references similar cases or women who were murdered together or murdered by the same person. Again we caution you: please do not read this book if you have been a victim of violence or have a male or female relative or child who has been a victim of violence. There is no way to escape the pain reading the following passages will cause. This information is upsetting, but we must have courage. If you choose to proceed, we ask you to be brave; strength will come. This section will be hard reading, but as women we must stand together and face the monsters in life so that we can secure a future for our children and bring peace to our nation. There is no peace when there is violence.

To the men reading our books: you must become more aware of the possibilities of danger that your daughters will experience when they date, become engaged, or marry. You cannot help the women of this nation if you do not understand their problems.

From The Author of The Career Rapist and Women of Courage

My name is Gloria G. Lee. I am the author of the series *Do this In Remembrance of Me*. I would like for you to imagine yourself sitting on the steps of a porch of a house in the South overlooking a river. There is a glass of lemonade nearby to quench your thirst while you work and watch the river flow. It is a sunny day with a breeze in the air and you are plucking the feathers from a chicken. Part of the body of the chicken is wedge between your knees and legs allowing you to hold the chicken while you remove the feathers with your hands. The top portion of the chicken you can see the lower portion of the chicken's body you cannot see. You have to keep rotating the chicken until all of the feathers are gone. You realize you cannot evaluate the chicken for market until you have plucked all the feathers. Then you can make a judgment whether to send the chicken to market or have it for Sunday dinner. You are doing a thorough job of plucking the chicken but you realize this is not an easy job. A lot of work has to be done. Removing the feathers from an animal is not a pleasant task but sitting outside, drinking sips of lemonade and gazing at the river helps while you do your work.

This is what we are doing in the series *Do This In Remembrance of Me*. We are peeling away layer after layer of the system of violence against women and children until we can see what is underneath. We are clearing away the debris and we are doing it slowly to make the process tolerable in the hope that we will have something to take to market; a product people can use in their daily lives. We need to know the specific factors that lead to violence so violence can be prevented. We want you to take an active part in the removal of the debris because the end result shall affect you and me and future generations to come. This time you cannot be a passenger waiting for the conductor to tell you your stop. You must be the engineer. You must take an active part in this discourse. You must muster your courage and look the monster in your life in the eye and destroy him. Unless violence against women and children is stopped in this country any one of us (e.g. Chandra Ann Levy circa 2001) can be the next victim which is not a pleasant thought. A life taken can never be restored. A life taken is an unfinished portrait an unfinished concerto never to be experienced again.

This book was not written so you can walk away from it saying that was a good read. This book was written so you will never forget the information you have learned from within. It shall stay with you for the rest of your lives and the lives of your children.

All the women we discuss in this book are dead. No, the women did not participate in risky behavior where you can blame them for their deaths. These women were going about their lives doing things that all of us do each and every day. The women were going to the store, returning to their apartments, preparing for bed, leaving for work in the morning, driving to their mother's home, looking for jobs or jogging down a city street or road trying to stay healthy. These women had their lives take away from them because a man or a group of men decided they should die. The women become victims after being abducted from taverns; convince stores, parking structures, motels, shopping malls, highways or social gatherings. Some of the women lost their lives believing in a smile and a handsome face. The smile can be on the face of your boyfriend until he learns you are pregnant then he turns ugly (Jennifer Denise Stonerock 2005). The smile can be on the face of your paper boy (Phyllis Peppins 1972) until he decides to rape and murder you. The



bodies of hundreds of women are found in burned out cars. A smile can be on the face of the man who infrequently comes into your restaurant and sits in your section (Sandra Berfield, 32 2000). Or the smile can be on one of the customers that come into the convenient store where you work (Jennifer Sornson, 19, 1989). The men presents this smile as you serve them but later if you look around you, you will see their car outside your establishment as they watch you go about your day. A smile is the greatest tool a man uses to gain your confidence and your trust. Predators are men who watch and wait and smile. A smile can be on the face of any man until he turns violent. What we must all do is take the smile at face value and realize there can always be danger behind a smile. An example of what can be behind a smile is the death of Mrs. Darlene Amberik in 2001. In her situation a woman was smiling. Mrs. Amberik was a multiple sclerosis patient living in Cleveland, Ohio. She died in 2001 after being fed a piece of bagel soaked in milk. She choked to death. She vomited pieces of bagel into her airway. A year later authorities learned that Darlene Amberik's husband purchased an engagement ring for Wanda Kanner, 49, Mrs. Amberik's caregiver. Do you think Mrs. Darlene Amberik would have eaten anything Ms. Kanner gave her if she had known Ms. Kanner was conducting an affair with her husband? In 2003 or 2004 a plea agreement was brokered and Ms. Kanner pled guilty to involuntary manslaughter. She was also found guilty of theft, practicing nursing without a license, and filing a false tax return. She was sentenced to five years in prison and must pay the insurance company \$195,000. Mrs. Denise Amberik did not need any help in dying nor did she need to be disrespected in her own home, but that is what a smile will do for you. Imagine a stranger comes into your home, smiles at you and conducts an affair with your husband. Just imagine! The prosecutor who brokered this deal was sharp look at the sentence. How attractive will Ms. Kanner be in five years having to pay a debt of \$195,000?

Everyone can hopefully avoid many pitfalls by being alert to the dangers around us including being alert to the person with the winning smile. He is the most dangerous person of all, the man with the winning smile who meets you on the stairs of your apartment building every morning, who you meet at the bus stop every morning, who offers you a drink at a bar or offers to help with your car. You are to never forget what you have learned in reading this book. Never! You are to teach it to your children both male and female. They need to know there are evil forces in the world working toward their destruction. During the 2006 trial of Anthony Allen Shore, Assistant District Attorney Kelly Siegler said, "Evil lives among us. "And sometimes evil, as the evidence will show, comes in the form of someone who looks completely normal. "Tony Shore is in a world all by himself. You could line up shrinks from now until Doomsday to interview him ... and you will never understand what kind of man he is." Anthony Allen Shore was sentenced to death October 2004 for the murders of Laurie Tremblay, 16, Maria Del Carmen Estrada, 21, Diane Rebollar, 9, and Dana Sanchez, 16, in Houston, Texas. The four murders spanned from 1986 through 1995. Mr. Shore's jobs as a telephone service man and a tow truck driver took him far and wide in the Houston area. He had ample freedom and opportunity to stalk or kill. Anthony Shore abducted Diane Robollar and Dana Sanchez at or near convenience stores. Now we shall begin The Career Rapist.

Foreword

In Volume Three we are going to discuss the least talked about subject in America. We are going to discuss the subject of rape like it has never been discussed before. Many of you will not want to read this book. You will think of hundreds of other things you can be doing other than reading about rape but consider this every day hundreds of women in this country just like you leave home never to return. They leave home for work, to do the laundry, they leave to go jogging, shopping or to visit a friend. During these events outside of home they are raped and murdered. Thousands of people are left devastated with their deaths. We are going to offer up new suspects for the police to consider. We are going to suggest where these men live and we are going to try and have you face the prejudice you have against women who do not live their lives the way you think women should thus making the woman the a victim twice over when her assailant is tried for her murder. We hope as you rethink personal freedom you will arrive at the conclusion that a person's selective life style does not give any one the right to murder them. There should be no social or racial status that gives one person the right to take the life of another.

In Volume Three you will meet Ursula Assaid who lived with her mother and her mother's boyfriend. You will meet Angel Greenwood who was left to die on an isolated road. You will meet Kimberly Kalinowski who babysat for her neighbor's children. You will meet Cheryl Wilson-Minelli who was making a name for herself as an athlete like so many women in this country. You will meet Lorraine Kirkley. She was a person many people enjoyed meeting. You will meet Hazel Martin. She was murdered because she did not want illegal activities being conducted in or around her home. You are going to meet thousands of Ursula Assaids, Angel Greenwoods, Kimberly Kalinowskis, Cheryl Wilson - Minellis, Lorraine Kirkleys, and Hazel Martins, women you never heard of before. We wrote about these women to cause you to change and pay attention to the people living around you.

Consider this, it is 2013 and men are still killing women. They are still beating women strangling women, stomping women, shooting women and hanging women. Men have been inflicting these injuries upon women for centuries and from the number of women being murdered each year it does not seem that men are going to stop killing women or stop using women as punching bags. In Volume Three we try to demonstrate the number of different ways women are being murdered in this country. Our hope is that this information helps to prevent further deaths in the future. Our ultimate goal is to get women and men to look at men differently with respect to who can be a murderer of women. We discuss 3,139 women in this book All of these women were murdered. Here are some of the injuries recorded by the coroner.

Asphyxiation	31	Shot	612	Throat Cut	26
Asphyxiated/burned	1	shot 2x	18	Throat slashed	3
Beaten	161	shot 3x	10	Car used as weapon	18
Beaten/asphyxiated	2	shot 4x	5	Drug by car	1
Beaten/kicked/throat cut	1	shot 5x	3	Ax	3
Beaten/shot	4	shot 7x	2	car bomb	1
Beaten/drown	3	Shot/legs amputated	1	fire bomb	4
Beaten/burned	1	shot/stabbed	3	Brick	1
Beaten/burned alive	1	shot/stabbed/mult injur	2	Fist/board	1
Beaten/head injuries	1	shot/strangled	3	Claw Hammer	2
Beaten/mutilated	2	shot numerous times	1	Hammer	5
Beaten/stabbed	16	Dog	1	Hammer/stabbed	2
Beaten/stabbed 2x	1	Garroted	2	Hatchet/mallet	2
Beaten/stabbed 17x	1	Genital mutilated	1	Machete	1
Beaten/stabbed 40x	2	COD not disclosed	4	Kicked	1
Beaten/stabbed/shot	1	Brain dead	1	Kicked/stabbed 14x	1
Beaten/stabbed/throat cut	1	Broken neck	3	Sword	1
Beaten/stabbed/strangled	2	Buried Alive	4	Truck rode overbody 15x	1
Beaten/strangled	47	Body burned	3	Blow to the head	1
Beaten/strangled/shot	1	Choked	12	Tortured	15

Beaten/throat slashed	1	Choked/stabbed	1	Tortured/shot	1
Beaten/killed w/ bat	2	Decapitated	2	Tortured/stabbed	1
Beaten/killed w/car	1	Dismembered	28	Tortured/strangled	1
Beaten/ then neck broken	1	Drown	17	Tortured/beaten w ham	1
Beaten in head	1	Fire	22	Mauled	1
Bitten/stabbed 18x	1	Crushed w/grave stone	1	Missing/disappeared	30
Blunt force trauma	18	Kicked into television	1	Multiple injury	5
Blunt force trauma/stabbed 3x	1	Injuries not reported	173	Thrown from window	1
Blt force trauma to head	2	No Case Information	75	Mutilated	31
Blt force traumahead/str	1	Unknown	146	Mutilated/shot	2
Blunt force trauma/bludgeoned	1	Seizure	1	Body never found	70
Bludgeoned	50	Shaken syndrome	2	Car chase/police	3
bludgeoned/beaten w/gun	1	Slashed	3	Exposure	2
Bludgeoned/stabbed	2	Slashed/stomped	1	Vehicular homicide	9
Bludgeoned/stabbed 16x	1	Smoke Inhalation	3	Hanged	3
Bludgeoned/stabbed/strangled	1	Smothered	5	Explosion	1
Bludgeoned/strangled	1	Strangled	768	Fall or pushed from cliff	1
Bludgeoned/suffocated	1	Strangled/ax	1	Thrown to her death	1
Frozen hypothermia	1	Strangled/burned	2	Drunk driver	11
Gagged/beaten/stabbed	1	Strangled/mutilated	5	Undetermined cause	28
Hacked to death	2	Strangled on food	1	Battered w/object	1
Hacked 75x	1	Suffocated	30	Beaten w/birdbath/strangled	1
Head Injury	16	Suffocated/heart attack	1	Beaten w/object	1
Head Injuries/burned	3	Skull crushed	1	Beaten w/piece of iron/strangled	1
Head injuries/stabbed	1	Skull Fracture	1	Beaten w/pipe/stabbed	1
Head Injury/strangled	1	Poisoned	9	Beaten w/ table leg	1
Head smashed	1	Poisoned cocaine	1	Beaten w/rock	9
Heart Attack	2	stabbed/throat cut	3	Beaten/stabbed/hammer	1
Inviscerated	1			Bludgeoned w/ an iron	1
immolation/setafire	4			Clubbed w/ piece of wood	1

Does this injury list not take your breath away, thinking a man could inflict such injury on his victim? We want you to keep reading because we are trying to save your life. Remember no one is impervious to violence.

Since the early 1960's we have been too narrowly focused on the violence experienced by women in intimate relationships that we do not see the broader picture of violence against women. This fixation is probably due to the fact it was during this period in our nation's history that newspapers began accurately reporting on the number of women being murdered by their husbands. Prior to the 1960's we were led to believe all was well on the domestic front and all married couple lived in houses like Leave it to Beaver and Ozzie and Harriet, never a cross word. Women were castigated to suggest their husband were mistreating them in the home. If there was a divorce the divorce was caused by something the woman did. In the 1960's women were so thankful that something would finally be done to men who beat them or denied them employment unless sex was exchanged that they fail to see the broader picture. They failed to push to have all gender murders examined and exposed. They failed to see there are just as many non-intimate murders committed each year as there are intimate murders. Since the non-intimate murders involved rape not much is said about these murders unless there were multiple murders committed and the public outcry depended on the race and occupation of the murder victim. Intimate murders seem to be more legitimate than non-intimate murders because rape was involved. The syndrome that the woman was asking for it still weighs down our judicial system. It was as if the movie about Ted Bundy solved all our problems. Ok here is a movie about a man who rapes and murders. We show the world what he was like and that is that, end of discussion. What

was said about how to identify men like Mr. Bundy? What was said to protect oneself against men like Mr. Bundy? Every now and then there would be a national broadcast on men such as Mr. Bundy then nothing more would be said.

What has not been said is our entire economic and social culture is based on violence and all women are subject to this violence regardless of marital status. Our culture is predicated on the use of violence against anyone who lacks defense. This is the reason why so many bullies both female and male have continued to emerge in our society regardless of the fact we say this is a Christian nation. Structurally the society man has created is violent. Violence against women is an integral part of this structure because the economy of this nation depends on the enslavement of women and the bartering and selling of women. Man's thoughts are always on acquisition even if it requires the destruction of another human being. This is why we have so many wars have been fought over goods and service. Just as many women are murdered on the street, murdered in garages, raped in parks, as there are women murdered in domestic situations. Yet we insist on using the term domestic violence whenever we discuss the murder of women as if the other women do not count. If 4,000 women are murdered each year and one third of these women are murdered in domestic situations and two thirds of the women were murdered in non-domestic situations why is the husband and the boyfriend given top priority in our discussions of violence against women. Why are we not looking at the other males who commit violent acts against women? Men are aggressive against women. This aggression can be seen in the home, on the playground at work and on a city bus. Therefore, if we are to prevent violence against all women we need to take a look at the other men murdering women. Below is another chart of injuries sustained by 391 women whose murders we discuss. Look closely at this chart these injuries were inflicted on women by men they did not know.

Stabbed	289	stabbed 15x	3	stabbed 45 x	1
Stabbed 2x	2	stabbed 17x	1	stabbed 46x	1
Stabbed 2x/strangled	2	stabbed 18 times	1	stabbed 47x	1
stabbed 3x	2	stabbed 19 times	3	stabbed 49x	1
stabbed 4 times	8	stabbed 20 times	1	stabbed 52x	1
stabbed 4x/strangled	1	stabbed 21x	2	stabbed 56x	1
stabbed 5 times	3	stabbed 23x	1	stabbed 61x	1
stabbed 6 times	3	stabbed 25 times	1	stabbed 90 times	1
stabbed 6x/mutilated	1	stabbed 26 times	2	stabbed 100 times	1
stabbed 7 times	4	stabbed 27x	1	stabbed 101 times	1
stabbed 9 times	2	stabbed 29 times	2	Stabbed/asphyxiated	1
stabbed 11times	2	stabbed 30 times	1	Stabbed/dismembered	1
stabbed 12x	1	stabbed 31 times	1	Stabbed in heart	1
stabbed 13x	5	stabbed 32 times	1	stabbed/strangled	26
stabbed 14x	4	stabbed 36 times	1	Stabbed/strangled/mutilated	1
					391

When we researched the above 391 murders we noticed people had a problem discussing or understanding the murders. They like to encapsulate the violence then leave the discussion of murder to the courtroom or the mystery novel. Society is repulsed by the idea that doing bad things makes people feel good. Society believes doing good things should make people feel good and doing bad things should make people feel bad. Just the opposite is true. Killing one's wife and burying her body in the garage of your new house can make a man feel just as good as a man who rapes and murders a woman in an abandoned building. We say the Career Rapist's objective is enjoyment through doing bad things. Murder is exciting. Murder is enjoyable and murder solves problems. The person thinks I can get rid of my problem or adversary if I commit murder even if the adversity is only in his or her mind. Murder is a permanent solution. Kill the person, solve the problem. If your business is failing, kill your wife, call the insurance company collect on the policy save the business. Bored with marriage tired of your children take out three life insurance policies, have car accident, call the insurance company collect the money then go on a vacation. Want to be with your girlfriend but you do not want to pay alimony or divide the marital assets, kill the wife, call the insurance company and retain all the possessions including the bank accounts car and furnishings. Wife wants a divorce – you do not want to pay child support, kill the wife, apply for social security from your wife, give your children to their grandparents, and you visit once in a while – maybe purchase junior a bicycle. Murder is a problem solver like no other. Murder relieves the stress in the body.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Author's Statement

Foreword

Dedication

Part One He Does Not Hide in the Shadows

Chapter 1	The Career Rapist	25
	a. Criminal Sexual Conduct - b. Murder by Age	
Chapter 2	Profile of a Rapist – Eight Career Rapists	81
Chapter 3	The Rapist At Large	117
Chapter 4	Rape and Murder So Much Evil	127
Chapter 5	Yesterday's News	181
Chapter 6	Murder By Gender - List of The Dead	185
Chapter 7	Case Summaries	213

Part Two Remember Arthur Bomar

Chapter 8	They Were Just Getting Started	27
Chapter 9	Injury Report	53
Chapter 10	The Body of A Woman Was Found	143
Chapter 11	More About the Career Rapist	409
Chapter 12	They Are Living Quietly Among Us	429
Chapter 13	Yesterday's News	451
Chapter 14	For Men Only	473

Selective Memory

Daddy, Daddy

In The Hearts and Minds of Men

For Men Only

Ask Your Son

Daddy, Daddy

Tell Your Son

When We Speak of Adultery

Pink Suit

Murder Suicide

Daddy Ball

Part Three You Cannot Tell A Rapist by His Appearance

Footballers

Chapter 15	The University	431
Chapter 16	The Point of Familiarity	497
Chapter 17	A Matter of Rape	
Chapter 18	The Rape Kit	507
Chapter 19	Yesterday's Rape News	171
Chapter 20	While Working Alone	121
Chapter 21	Women and Work	239
Chapter 22	Do This In Remembrance Of Me - Who is Gleaning Behind You?	517
Chapter 23	Rape and The World	
Conclusion		

Volume Four Introduction

Dedication

Volume III of *Do This in Remembrance of Me* is being dedicated in memory of three men Mr. Eric Schutte, Mr. Larry "Bubba" Smith Jr. and Mr. Idris Cox, 37. These men gave their lives trying to save their family during a fire. Mr. Schutte age 34 lived in village of Denton, Michigan, a small community in Barry County. Mr. Eric Schutte was the husband to Donna Schutte and a father to four children, Cameron Schutte age 6, Clay Schutte age 3, Brent Schutte age 2 and Brianna Tayler Schutte age 11 days. His wife had recently given birth to their fourth child, their only girl. Mr. Schutte worked in the Sajo's Pizzeria for 17 years then he got a job working in the Perrigo Pharmaceutical to support his wife and family.

Before February 2001 no one outside of Denton or Allegan, Michigan had heard of Mr. Schutte. Now many people throughout Michigan know of Mr. Schutte and they will never forget him. Mr. Schutte joined the ranks of men who lost their lives trying to save the lives of their family. A fire broke out in his home during the night of February 6, 2001 trapping his four children and wife inside. Mr. Schutte pulled two of his sons from the flames. He ran to his neighbors for help then he ran back to his home and he tried to reenter the house to save the rest of his family. Mr. Schutte was overcome by the smoke and flames. His wife Donna, son Brent and daughter, Brianna Tayler died in the fire. Mr. Schutte was taken to the hospital, where friends and family believed he would recover. February 12, 2001 Mr. Eric Schutte died in the Bronson Methodist Hospital in Kalamazoo, Michigan of inhalation and burns to the body. Mr. Schutte's death is a testament to the fact that fatherhood and marriage is indeed important to many men and is the foundation of their existence.

Mr. Eric Schutte's father, Larry Schutte, said, he wanted his son to be remembered as the hero who died saving his children. He said his son was loved by everyone who knew him. Eric Schutte was an only child. In honor of his father's statement we dedicate this book to Mr. Eric Schutte.

Matthieu Draven Davis, the 3 year old son of Jamie Davis, may survive his injuries because of the bravery of Mr. Larry Smith Jr., 19. Ms. Jamie Davis and her son, Matthieu Davis, lived in Jackson County Mississippi. Wednesday, November 19, 2003, fire broke out in her home trapping Jamie Davis, 23, in her bedroom. There was no means of escape. The fire was contained to a hallway and utility room outside one of the home's three bedrooms. Jamie Davis; body was found in a corner of the bedroom. Larry Smith was in the home during the time of the fire. Although he was injured (body was burned, his lungs filled with smoke) he managed to bring Matthieu Davis out of the burning house. Larry Smith died of smoke inhalation at the University of South Alabama Medical Center.

"For him not to be the father of Draven, he sacrificed a lot. He thought of Draven as a son."
Andrea Sherman, Davis' sister

January 7, 2009 Mr. Idris Cox, 37, died trying to rescue one of his children in an early morning house fire. His wife, Subhana Rahim, 39 and their four children escaped the blaze.

Thursday, June 22, 2001 Michael Lee Hickman, 52, pulled into his driveway on to hear his 25 year old son, Michael Bert Hickman, screaming for help. He ran inside his home only to become overcome by smoke. He died in the fire trying to save his son.

We make this statement here about Mr. Eric Schutte, Larry Smith, Mr. Idris Cox and Michael Lee Hickman to give notice to the country that not all men murder their wives and love ones; some men die saving them. The true measure of a man is how he treats his family and the memory he leaves behind.

He Does Not Hide In The Shadows

For the past thirty odd years, the public has been told the man who wanders the country killing women is a serial killer. He is illusive, brilliant, cunning and stealthy. We are told the serial killer is by far the most extraordinary murderer of women. He or she is a superior person. The serial killer has replaced Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde and the Werewolf as killers of women. Prior to the serial killer Dr. Jekyll and the Werewolf were the men of superior powers to be feared. The problem is these men never existed. Their images were planted in our minds as the men to fear causing real killers to be overlooked. It is the same with the term serial killer. We use that term to such an extent that the killers among us are overlooked. For years some men escaped detection because the men did not fit our profile of a murderer. A profile we firmly believe. Now as soon as a number of women are reported missing or murdered we think of the serial killer, the man who has wandering the country murdering women. Somehow he wandered into our neighborhood killed a few women then vanished across the countryside. If we do not act fast, he will leave the area without being apprehended. We are so fixed on this image we overlook the killers living among us. We are so fixed on the formula we fail to take a look around us. Allegedly, Andre Crawford murdered eleven women in Chicago. He could have been stopped but he was overlooked. He did not fit the profile of a killer. One man had Andre Crawford in the palm of his hands but he did not associate what Andre Crawford did to his girlfriend to the women being murdered in Chicago, Illinois. Andre Crawford did not look like a killer. Yet, ask yourself what does a killer look like.

The problem with recognizing a murderer is that we are looking for the wrong characteristics and we are looking in the wrong places. Many killers reveal themselves by age twelve yet we never extrapolate this behavior to future crimes. The law does not allow for mapping juvenile behavior to adult crimes. We walk around seeking men looking homicidal. We were told that the Werewolf only comes out at night when the moon is full. If he can be locked in room during this time of the transformation, the lives of women can be saved. We associate murder and the night and we associate a murder with a murderous appearance. If the truth is known, most murderers are average looking men. Murderers look like you and I and they are living among us as sons, brothers, husbands, and fathers. It is their average appearance and their relationships that conceal their true nature. As soon as a man is identified as a murderer, his neighbors immediately say, "He seems like a regular Joe." "He was always nice to the children." "You could trust him to do the right thing." "He was always offering to help."

Mr. Crawford was a regular Joe. People knew him throughout the neighborhood. They drank beer with him every day and thought nothing of it. Yet, no one associated the fact that the majority of the women murdered in the Chicago neighborhood were known to Mr. Crawford or that two of the women were murdered where he was living. No one sat down and asked the question is there a man known to all of the women being murdered. A murderer is not always a phantom. He is your next door neighbor. He is your son or brother. He is your husband or father. Women living in close proximity with these men frequently noticed irregularities but ignore the warnings as idiosyncrasies. The sister of one such murderer did note the behavior of her brother and believed he murdered a woman in Chicago. She told the authorities. An innocent man was in prison for two murders her brother committed. The woman who turned her brother's name into the police was a brave and an intelligent woman. She managed not only to see her brother as a relative she also saw him as a man capable of violence. Her actions saved the lives of his future victims living in Chicago. No one ever thanked her for this extraordinary act.

Men reported as peeping toms, flashers, transients showing themselves in public are often time in the second or third stages of becoming a career rapist (Johnnie Kenneth Register II). They continue in these behaviors until they are no longer amused and satisfied with the present course of events. They begin to want more excitement. They need better victims. They graduate to other sexual jesters until they began physically attacking women in some manner (Austin Sigg). Violence grows. Peeping toms graduate to rape then rape and murder. The murderers of a girl in Pennsylvania and Destiny Norton are two such

killers. One man wrote poems about what he would do to a woman in the woods and the other man had a wife. Just because a man does not look homicidal does not mean he is not dangerous to women.

Imagine you work all day you come home at night cook, see after your home then go to bed. Sometime during the night you wake and you notice your husband is not in bed. He is not only not in the bed he has left the house. It never occurs to you that he is driving around looking for women to kill. When asked he account for behavior by saying he could not sleep and decided to go for a drive? When a man leaves home at night, it is not necessarily because he is meeting his mistress. He may leave the house to scout for victims. Imagine you have a boyfriend he likes to watch you take a bath. It never occurs to you that he is fantasizing about murdering women while they bathe and you are his model. You pass his behavior off as an idiosyncrasy. Later you learn he has actually murdered a woman. Imagine you are married. You have a home and children. You begin to notice your husband has changed he seems preoccupied and avoids having sex. You attribute this change to his having an affair, an affair that accounts for his long periods away from home. Little do you know your husband's time away from home is spent prowling the streets in search of his next victim? Little do you know your husband spends his nights attacking and robbing women of the night to pay your light bill? He never seems short of money.

You visit your girlfriend's homes. Often your conversation with her brother turns to sex. The only problem with the conversation is her brother makes off colored remarks about imprisoning women or subduing juvenile females. You do not associate his discussion with the murders occurring in the area. Later you learn he was arrested for murder. You say to yourself he didn't look like a murderer. Husbands and fathers or brothers are the last men suspected of rape and murder by their wives or their associates. We know of only a few women who after learning of a series of rapes reported the men in their lives to the police as a suspect. Men who are plumbers, electricians or have jobs requiring them to enter numerous homes are good candidates for abusers of women as are cab drivers, bus drivers and cable installers. These men are around women every day and they are not noticed. These men are able to observe behaviors and schedules with impunity. These men are non-descript. They are never suspected.

As you read you will see some murderers left bits and pieces of information that were not interpreted by friends and family members. When rapes and murders were announced on the news the women in the community did not ask themselves could the murderer of these women be my husband or brother. Could the murderer of these women be my son or my father? These questions are not asked by women because no one wants to imagine their son or husband or father enjoying the act of murder. Countless women holler and scream and rave at the police that the rapist is not their husband. The murderer could not be their son. Yet often times as not the women are wrong. Mrs. Armstrong was wrong. Mrs. Yates was wrong. The mother of Arohn Kee was wrong. Arohn Malik Kee lived in Harlem, New York. His neighbors called him "Chester The Molester." To his neighbors Arohn Kee had all the characteristics of a child molester. Little did they know he had successfully raped five women and murdered three young women in six years? Mr. Kee's neighbors did not connect his behavior to the murders reported in the newspapers. When the police interviewed his ex-girlfriend, she was able to tell them how Arohn Kee disposed of the bodies. When John Brewer (Volume Four) was arrested for the 1987 murder of Mrs. Michele Lafond, 23, of New Hampshire and the 1997 murder of Mrs. Dena Kitzler of Florida investigators learned from one of his ex-girlfriends that Mr. Brewer had a fetish for watching her bathe. August 28, 1989 Susan Marie Dolls of Fort Collins, Colorado was brutally murdered in her home. Years later when her murder case was solved it was learned her assailant was a 16-year old boy living in the neighborhood who had returned to her home one night to steal more underwear. Remember Lt. Charles Hunt of the Toledo Police Department. He said of various assailants, "He probably went in there looking for cash. The opportunity presented itself for the sexual assault."

When Ms. Doll was murdered, there was a long string of burglaries in the neighborhood the intruder stole the panties of the occupants. Some people in the community probably thought a man stealing panties was a joke, who would want to steal a woman's panties. The police did not think it important to ask the women in the community to search their homes for these stolen items. The police did not consider the panty thief had to have a home and there was a good probably that he lived with someone who could

actually find his cache of trophies. The police never considered the party thief would be a teenager. Ms. Doll's murderer was known to ride his bike throughout the neighborhood with his friends. What we assume are perfunctory activities (riding a bike or walking) are actually surveillances. Some murderers used the bicycle as their getaway vehicle. Mr. Francisco Del Junco of Florida was one such person. He rode a bike throughout Florida carrying the murder weapon on his handle bars. It was only when a courageous woman pointed him out to the police was his rampage stopped. Mr. Joel Ripkin lived with his mother and sister. He had a cache of articles from his victims hidden in his home. On one occasion he stored the body of one of his victims in the back of his mother's car.

Murder investigations are often times hampered by gross assumptions and apathy. If the victim was addicted to drugs, his or her death can be attributed to drug and an autopsy will not be performed. Sometime we think the murderer had to be a crackpot. He had to be an outsider who came into the neighborhood killed his victim then left. The murderer could not possibly be a 16-year old neighbor who likes to ride his bike through the neighborhood. The murderer could not be a 13-year old who decided he wanted his neighbor's VCR and believed it would be fun breaking into houses while his parent's slept. How are we to believe that after his first successful kill he entered another woman's home and murdered her and her daughter's? A woman of the night could not possibly be killed by a friend. The murder has to be related to her occupation. Could it not be possible that a woman of the night was murdered because she was robbed - Virginia Russell, 1996 South Carolina)? Could it not be that a man low on money decided a woman of the night is an easy mark for robbery? He thinks no one will care if she is murdered? Could it not be possible that the murderer is a man who was in need of sex but did not want to pay for the service that the man used the \$20.00 as a lure? Remember Messieurs Maxene Pierre, Eddy Private and Max Celestin discussed in Volume Two. These were the three men who attacked a couple and committed a rape in Franklin Park in Boston, Massachusetts. These men were just getting started. They were low on money out at night looking for fun. Do you really think these men would have stopped their nocturnal activity if the police had not caught them? Boldness and daring comes with each success. Robbing a couple in a park was easy. Who would know? Is it possible that when women are reported missing they are missing not because they do not want to be found they are missing because they have been murdered. When Mrs. Pearl Bruns of Maine was reported missing by her daughter the chief of police said Mrs. Bruns probably left with one of her ex-husbands (notice the disrespect). She had been married four times and she likes her drink. Her history was used against her. When her disappearance was solved Pearl Bruns' body was found buried in the basement of her home. The chief of police had no comment regarding his assumptions and speculations.

When the women were murdered in Chicago no one thought to examine the history of the men living in this closed neighborhood on the chance that the Chicago murderer was not a transient. Women of the night have more than just one type of relationship with men. These women have a past and they have current relationship tangential to their occupation. These women had classmates, friends that went off to college, friends working in banks, as shop keepers, grocers etc. When a victim is not seen as a whole person how can her life be investigated to reveal her murderer. No one looked at the behavior of Mr. Atkins of Michigan or Mr. Wallace of North Carolina or Mr. Murphy of Michigan or Mr. Calvin Jackson of New York or Mr. Harrison of Philadelphia and asked did the victim know these men however obliquely. All of these men murdered and hid in plain sight as did the 16-year old who murdered Susan Marie Doll. The murderer of Rebecca Spencer of Rhode Island was hiding in plain sight. He was attending the local junior high playing football. His capture would have saved the lives of Joan Heaton, 39, Jennifer Heaton, 10, and Melissa Heaton, 8, but no one was looking for a 13-year old murderer. The police did not think to ask the women in the community to look in their home for the items stole from Ms. Spencer's home. Investigators were not looking for a career rapist in the making. They were not looking for a child. Investigators were looking for an adult, a burglar. It did not occur to them that all violent offenders have a beginning. Acts of violence or murder does not start with adulthood the young can kill. It was quite possible the offender is living with someone. The murderer has to conceal his bounty trophies somewhere. The panties had to be stored somewhere nearby to be retrieved when needed (near a furnace). The VCR or jewelry had to be hidden somewhere in someone's home (Craig Price, 15, Rhode Island the booty was

in an outside shed) (Jeffrey W. Gorton, 39, of Vienna Township, Michigan the undergarments were in the garage). The items did not show up at the local pawnshop. It is quite possible a curious parent may have found the items. There are some women in communities who will question the behavior of their children they may just have to be reminded to do so.

So in the case of the women murdered in Illinois, Michigan, North Carolina, New York or Philadelphia, no one went looking for a friend or a neighbor of all of these women. The problem with murder detection is that too many nuances and associations and assumptions are made by investigators and the public that sometimes the obviousness of the murders is over looked. Is it possible detection could be improved if investigators are given computer tools and population grids and changes are made in how DMV records are kept to help find the perpetrators? Have you noticed when the police need the public's help in identifying a suspect, they show the suspect's picture on television and ask have you seen this man. The police never ask the question "Is this man your son or husband?" The appeal is never personalized. When you ask a person to look at a picture and you ask the person is this your son or husband, you are now asking a question with specificity, the complexion of the situation has changed. The question and situation is now personal. The question takes on an importance. If the police ask the question is this your son, they will have a captive audience. This question cannot be dismissed because now the police are saying "My son is a murderer." "My husband is a murderer." How many people do you know who will look at a picture of a suspect and ask themselves is this a picture of my son or my husband. Men and women will only ask this question if their curiosity is aroused. Who will know the face of her son or husband better than a mother or a wife? During a television announcement, a child recognized her father's picture on television she told her teacher. The teacher reported the child's conversation to the police and the father was arrested. He killed a woman. A reward was offered in this case. We do not know if the child received the reward or the teacher. People argued the child receive the reward.

In some murder cases the race, social and financial standing of the victim plays a major role in the response of some police department. Whoever heard of a posse being formed to save a black child from rape, the National Guard being called out or helicopters being flown over head to search for a missing person that is black, or a ransom being offered by the FBI? Today we have complaints made by some parents throughout the country that the Amber Alert is only used when White children are reported missing other children are said to be runaways they are less important to society not worthy of a newspaper story unless there is blood and gore that can be used to demean and prove an entire race of men are rapists and murderers. When Shannon Denise Capers' parents reported her missing from her home in Haynesville, Louisiana March 8, 1997 the authorities assumed Shannon was a run away. No one went looking for her. In 1999 when her murder was solved Shannon Capers' body was found buried behind the apartment complex where she lived and the man who murdered her was a friend living in the neighborhood who had seduced her. A 15-year old school girl in Detroit, Michigan was abducted and later found murdered in a vacant house and no one knew she was missing. There was not one announcement made over the radio or television. Her murder has not been solved. Yes both children were Black.

If the murderer is not a husband or a boyfriend, it does not necessarily follow that the murderer is a stranger. Why should not the next person to be examined by police investigators be a friend, presumably because this is a person who can get close enough to the victim to kill? Mr. Crawford allegedly murdered eleven women making him by definition a serial killer. Andre Crawford has been in jail eight years. As of July 2008 he is still awaiting trial. No one considered that a friend rather than a stranger who came into town to purchase a woman had murdered the women murdered in Chicago. No one considered the women of the night could have friendly relationships that had nothing to do with earning money. One question that should always be asked when a number of women are murdered, who is the person all of these women trust? The person could be a friend not a stranger. There are oblique relationships. People who ride the bus everyday develop oblique relationships. People who eat breakfast at the same diner every day form oblique relationships. People who purchase beer from the same liquor store form oblique relationships. If a person shares a cigarette or a beer with someone every day, a bond forms a trust forms. People habituate to time, places and occurrences. If a man comes into your convenience store every day

and smiles, a bond is formed you begin to trust him. If a man comes into the restaurant where you work and says hello every day, and orders bacon and eggs and smiles and thank you for your service are you not going to form a bond with him? Repetition is the foundation of social bonds. Why should we not believe bonds are not formed with murderers? Why should we not believe that bonds are formed with ladies of the night, thereby accounting for a large number of women being murder? Why can the man not be a friend or an acquaintance of the women he murdered? Mr. Wallace was a friend and acquaintance of the women he murdered. And the women he murdered worked for a living. Mr. Harrison was a friend and an acquaintance of the women he murdered. None of these men are of superior intellect nor were they illusive, brilliant, cunning or stealthy. What Mr. Crawford, Mr. Atkins, Mr. Wallace, and Mr. Harrison did was murder and hide in plain sight. Mr. Crawford's behavior made him lucky because no one suspected him until eleven women was murdered. There were two other factors that clouded the investigation into the murder of the eleven women in Chicago in 1999, there were a number of murderers operating in the area at approximately the same time enhancing the idea that there was a super killer on the loose. There were a number of unsolved murders that the community believed was being ignored. In this volume and Volume Four we are going to look at the men we call career rapist and recidivist to see how the recognition and treatment of these men affect the murder of women.

The adjective serial was affixed to the word killer to denote this is a person who murders in a series. The number of killings he or she commits suggests he or she is super human. The number of murders also suggests that the serial killer is a superior thinker. Mr. Coral Eugene Watts, Mr. Atkins and Mr. John Eric Armstrong of Michigan were of average intelligence. Mr. Richard Ramirez of California and Mr. Andre Crawford of Chicago were not superior intellectuals. One could say Mr. Alfred Swinton was dull-witted. He used the murder of women to support his ego. He felt superior when he played a cat and mouse game with the police. Mr. Nathaniel Lewis was also dull-witted. Mr. Lewis called the hotel where he murdered two women just to see if the women had been found yet and to see if anyone suspected him. Mr. Andre Crawford and Mr. Atkins could have been apprehended any number of times, if there had been cooperation between police departments, the same with Mr. Calvin Jackson or Mr. Joel Ripkin of New York. Neither Mr. Jackson nor Mr. Ripkin was of superior intellect they were just overlooked. Mr. Ripkin purchased barrels to dispose of his victims. When the women were found the coroner dismissed their deaths to drug use and had the women buried in a potter's field. There was no investigation as to who purchased the barrels. The bodies of thousands of American women are lying in potter's fields because law enforcement dismissed their deaths because the women were drug users. December 26, 2004 the charred body a woman was found under the porch at 2038 Cedarlawn Ave. in New York. Her cause of death is homicide. The woman has never been identified and authorities have not released her cause of death. She was buried April 15 at the Pine View Cemetery. December 8, 1997 the body of a woman was found in Macon, Georgia. She was decapitated and her hands and feet were missing to foil identification. We do not show an arrest in either situation. Someone's child is buried and the parents are unaware of the death.

These killers are not superior beings. Their killing spree continued because no one was looking for them. The murders and disappearances of women in the various communities were taken as separate unrelated incidences. Could it not be that for years no one expected there were men dedicated to killing women so no one was looking for them? Serial killers are men viewed as anomalies. Is it not possible that if serial killers are seen, as career killers the public and law enforcement view of these men will change and the apprehension of these men would improve? Is it not possible that we need to define a new reality? Define a reality where there are men where murder is normal to them and these men are living among us not wandering the countryside looking for victims. And once this reality is defined we should look for men living in this view. This reality will be where we will find the career rapist, the career killer living next door or sitting down to dinner with us. How many times did Mr. John Eric Armstrong or Mr. Robert Lee Yates or Mr. Gary Ridgeway sit down to dinner with their family. How many times did these men share a Thanksgiving or Christmas dinner. If we can bring these men into our lives, we will be looking for them living among us. The problem with viewing men as anomalies means we do not see their beginning. We do not see their evolving into murderers. We do not see their first victim. We do not see their involvement in our lives. When did Mr. Andre Crawford first conceive of murder? Who was his first

victim? The first occurrence did not have to be a homicide just an assault.

Craig Price murdered Rebecca Spencer in 1987. He stabbed her fifty-eight times. He murdered Joan Heaton and her daughters two years later. We could not see that a person in Ms. Spencer's neighborhood committed the murder. It had to be someone from the outside. We hold these beliefs because the prevailing thought is all neighbors are people who support one another and who are friendly and are interested in one another's wellbeing. This belief is not necessarily true. The belief makes us feel good. This belief makes us feel safe and secure. Who wanted to believe that a 13 year old was capable of stabbing a woman 58 times then going home to sit down and eat breakfast with his parents?

Often times men who rape and murder are men living among us and these men have had contact with law enforcement. Why do we not look for predictors when men pass through the judicial system? Why are these men not flagged? One man who should have been flagged as a man with great potential to murder a woman was Mr. Robert Reynolds. Friday, January 28, 2005, Robert L. Reynolds, 37, of Springfield, Illinois pleaded guilty to the second-degree murder of Paullen L. Godoy, 31, August 2002. He was sentenced to only twenty years in prison. This is the maximum sentence for second -degree murder in Illinois. For lack of a better term what is unique about Mr. Reynolds, is he has quite a history of abuse to women. In 1994 he was convicted of rape and imprisoned. July 26, 2002 he raped a woman in her home while holding a pair of scissors to her neck. He killed the next month. Amazingly since 1988 five women filed protection orders in Illinois against Mr. Reynolds. Why did the correction system not think he would not reoffend? In this book you will see other rapists traveling through the judicial system, (Florida, New York) that later killed.

Since the word serial suggests a specific pattern a person gets a feeling of finality. The word serial denotes closure. There is a fix sequence of time and events. What is missing in the term serial killer is that it does not denote the recurrence of murders. The word serial killer also does not describe the killer's true objective. The objective of a serial killer is to kill as many women and children as he possibly can for as long as he possibly can and to escape detection; this why he is more applicably called a career rapist or a career killer not a serial killer. The phrase serial killer makes one think that the killings are going to occur then stop when the killer moves to another location. The word career suggests a life style a life pattern. There is no closure when the word career is used. The word career suggest this is what the person intends to do for the rest of his or her life. The word career means the man considers murdering women as a significant life work. His life's work is to murder women. Therefore he will train; he will rehearse and he will plan his activities. He is dedicated to these activities every day. He is always looking for improvements. He is always testing theories and he is always looking for victims. His martial status and what he does to earn a living is secondary to the work of killing. Murdering women rises well above being a hobby or past time.

November 4, 2003 Mr. Gary Ridgway pleaded guilty to 48 counts of murder in an American courtroom. He said, "I wanted to kill as many women as I possibly could. "I killed so many women I have a hard time keeping them straight." Mr. Ridgeway was a career rapist. He made it his life's work to kill. Mr. Ridgway strangled four dozen women over two decades, from 1982 to 1998. When convicted Gary Ridgway was 54-year-old, which means he began murdering women in his thirties but it does not mean that 1982 was the first time he participated in a violent act against a woman. He once worked at a truck factory. He married and had a child. Like Mr. Jespersen he soon divorced. Like Andre Crawford, Gary Ridgway was an everyday Joe. Mr. Gary Leon Ridgway was not a superior intellect. Mr. Ridgway was lucky just like Mr. Crawford. Mr. Ridgway was a suspect but the city did not feel it was worth the money to have this man tailed. Like Mr. Crawford Mr. Ridgway killed women in King County, mostly near his home. It was a missed opportunity not superior intellect that Mr. Ridgway was not caught until 2002. Mr. Coral Eugene Watts was not a superior intellect. The state of Michigan notified Texas of Mr. Coral Eugene Watts' proclivities yet Texas did not continue to follow Eugene Watts. One night two police officers in Michigan saw Mr. Watts harass a woman. Mr. Watts followed this woman trying to force her to stop her car. It was this episode that brought Mr. Watts to the attention of the police. The police in Michigan

identified harassing a woman with a car as a violent act. The police recorded his behavior and began to watch Mr. Watts. Mr. Watts had to be a violent man, yet when he arrived in Texas no one stalked Mr. Watts to keep up with his activities. Authorities spend more time and money and energy monitoring the activities of drug dealers than they do potential murderers. When Mr. Thomas McCarthy of Ohio was apprehended for the same crime (chasing two women in his car) the Ohio police ignored his behavior and the judge did not consider him danger. Mr. McCarthy went on to stalk thousands of women living in Ohio. Mr. Thomas J. McCarthy came to the attention of police but his behavior was ignored by the court. He was not recognized as a career rapist. He was given a slap on the wrist and released because he was a fireman and was married. Everyone saw Mr. McCarthy as one of them. He was normal. Yet, Mr. McCarthy had chased two women in his car with the intention of raping them. But after his lawyer negotiated his release no one paid any more attention to Mr. Thomas McCarthy. No file was made labeling Mr. McCarthy as a potential sex offender yet he was.

Often time sex offenders come to the attention of law enforcement obliquely (traffic stop –leaving a bar) yet these men are not flagged for future attention. Michigan police authorities flagged John Eric Armstrong and Coral Eugene Watts as potentially dangerous men. In Mr. Armstrong's case the DNA profile were not received in time to prevent the deaths of three more women but the Dearborn, Michigan police had the foresight to investigate him. Many career rapists you will soon discuss came to the attention of the police but their behavior was not recorded as potential killers of women (burglars). No one suspected Thomas McCarthy was one of the biggest stalkers in the nation. Mr. McCarthy may have never been caught if it were not for the courage of Mr. Brian Kilroy, 35, of Lakewood, Ohio. The men and women of this country owe Mr. Kilroy their thanks and gratitude because Brian Kilroy saved the lives of thousands of women when he subdued Thomas McCarthy. Thomas McCarthy had raped twice and was well on his way to terrorizing women all over Ohio. He was not going to stop and sooner or later he was going to kill. Mr. McCarthy's fantasies were not going to stop they would soon include the victims being murdered. The natural progression of the rape and torture scenario would sooner or later include murder. Mr. McCarthy was an intelligent man. Sooner or later he would want to try his hand at eluding the police in a murder case. He was already deceiving his friends and family and associates. There is always an escalation of violence with each success.

Mr. McCarthy was so confident of his prowess that one night when he was raping and torturing one of his victims he broke off his activities walked outside and moved his car that was parked on the street into the driveway of his victim's home. He thought his car would be less suspicious if it were parked in the victim's driveway. He returned to the victim's home and continued his activity. This man believed he was superior when actuality he was just overlooked. Later he would tell a friend he was not afraid of being caught because he was smarter than the police. He was only smarter than the police because the police did not look at him as a potential killer of women when he was first arrested and there was no national procedure in place to register men as potential sex offender. He was ignored as a career rapist because to the police he was like everyone else. He appeared to be just like them, a public servant. No one has introduced the procedure of establishing a data bank listing men as potential killers labeling these males when they come to the attention of law enforcement when they are teenagers or young adults. The young men who are given probation or let off with a warning when they are accused of statutory rape or incest or peeping into someone's window or flashing. Or the men who are acquitted of rape (Mr. Paul Durroseau of Georgia and Florida). Just because a man is acquitted of rape does not mean he is not a rapist, it just means the prosecutor failed to prove the man was a rapist or the jury did not like the victim.

If this person (serial killer) is of above intelligence, he knows what he is doing is wrong. If he performs murders not to be apprehended, then it means he plans to murder again. If he plans to kill over and over again, then this is his life's work. The murders are going to continue to occur throughout his lifetime. Since this pattern is going to continue it becomes a career activity. Killing women is the murderer's life work all other human activities are secondary. Working and maintaining a family is a camouflage. The career rapist primary job is hunting and killing. Calling a person a serial killer excludes him from being a member of a community, a father or a husband because by definition he is a man who

roams the country murdering women in a series neglecting the fact that these murderers are attached to the community.

Stopping is not an option. The career rapist does not want to stop doing what he is doing any more than a conductor wants to stop conducting music or any more than an engineer wants to stop solving problems. Murdering is his work. He is able to perform this task efficiently and without disclosure because our picture of him is incorrect. You will find after reading the *Career Rapist* the man who rapes or rapes and murder is not a despicable character. He is an ordinary looking male, capable of fathering children; he maintains a heterosexual relationship with a woman and marries as camouflage. He goes to work every day, pays his taxes and takes his friends out for a drink. Yet, a career rapist is always planning and scheming looking for the best approach to be able to kill again and again and again or rape again and again; in order to continue in his activities many career rapists have girlfriends or they will marry. He knows no one will suspect him if he is married. He knows that absolutely no one will suspect him if he is a father. He relies on the camouflage to protect him while he goes out time and time again to murder. He plays football with the children. He talks sports to his co-worker and he tells his wife he has to work late and the blood in his car is deer blood. He knows his wife will not suspect him. Mr. Ross of Connecticut had a girlfriend visiting him at the time of his arrest and he had murdered eight women. Remember the Nazis they kissed their children good bye in the morning then went out and ordered Jewish children into cattle cars or into the gas chambers.

Mr. Thomas McCarthy's friends and coworkers could not believe he had evolved into a career rapist. They saw no reason for him to rape. The activity was so far from his normal image of a firefighter. He did everything they did so how could he be a rapist. He couldn't be a rapist because he was just like us. The problem here is people believe a person either participates in normal behaviors or a person participates in abnormal behaviors but not both. A man who teaches his son to fish cannot be a career rapist. A man who coaches little league cannot be a career rapist. These behaviors are incongruous. A person who breaks the law is a person who commits sexual crimes not a person who is a fireman or a doctor or a lawyer. Abnormal behavior cannot be seen in a normal person. Normal people get up in the morning do their chores, go to work, raise a family and pay their taxes. Abnormal people are the ones that get up in the morning and do nothing. Abnormal people do not contribute to society. They are the ones who cause all of the trouble and suffering in the world. It is these people who break the law that should be queried by the police. A rapist is not a firefighter who puts his life on the line at every fire he attends. These are two incongruous states of being. How can one state of being exist inside another? Jerry Sandusky raped children for years at Penn State. He is now labelled as a retired American football coach and convicted serial child molester. He used a charity to select his victims. He appeared normal to the men around him.

Look at Mr. Eric Armstrong, he was married with a child on the way yet he was a career rapist. Look at Mr. Hansen, look at Coral Eugene Watts, look at Mr. Gary Ridgway, and look at Mr. Robert Lee Yates. Mr. Ridgway is now convicted of murdering 49 women. Mr. Hansen was convicted of murdering women. Mr. Coral Eugene Watts was convicted of murdering women. Robert Lee Yates was convicted of murdering women. All of these men participated in what we call normal behaviors yet they were also murderers. So in order to understand these men we must expand our definition and understanding of what is normal. We must realize normality can be an incongruous state of being. These men had no intentions of stopping. Murdering women was their career. All of their activities were predicated on this one event. These men made life changes to accommodate murdering women. Mr. Watts moved to another state to find a new killing ground. Mr. Watts is suspected of murdering women in two states and Canada. He was not sentenced to death in the state of Texas; actually he was eligible for parole from Texas. In 2004 he was extradited to Michigan where he was convicted of first-degree murder. He will now spend the rest of his life in a Michigan prison. He died in prison a few years after his conviction. Coral Eugene Watts, 28, of Michigan and Texas was suspected or convicted in the murder of :

Hazel Conniff 23
 Jeanne Clyne 44
 Helen Dutcher 36

Denise Dunmore 27
 Margaret Fossi 25
 Yolandra Gracia 22

Carrie Jefferson
 Emily Elizabeth LaQua 14
 Edith Anna Ledet 34
 Michele Maday 20
 Elizabeth Ann Montgomery 25
 Linda Monteiro 27
 Peggy Pochmara 21

Suzanne Searles 25
 Elena Semander 20
 Gloria Steele 19
 Phyllis Ellen Tamm 27
 Linda Katherine Tilly 22
 Susan Marie Wolf, 21

Mr. Gary Ridgway, 52, is believed to have murdered the following women:

Washington
 Amina Agisheff 36
 Wendy Lee Coffield 16
 Gisele Ann Lovvorn 17
 Debra Lynn Bonner 23
 Marcia Faye Chapman 31
 Cynthia Jean Hinds 17
 Opal Charmaine Mills 16
 Mrs. Kasee Ann Lee 16
 Terry Rene Milligan 16
 Mary Bridget Meehan 18
 Debra Lorraine Estes 15
 Denise Darcel Bush 22
 Shawnda Leea Summers 17
 Shirley Marie Sherrill 18
 Rebecca R. Marrero
 Collen Renee Brockman 15
 Alma Ann Smith 18
 Delores LaVerne Williams 17
 Gail Lynn Mathews 24
 Andrea M. Childers 19
 Sandra Kay Gabbert 17
 Kimikai Pitsor 16

Marie M. Malvar 18
 Carol Ann Christensen 21
 Martina Theresa Authorlee 18
 Cheryl Lee Wims 18
 Yvonne Shelly Antosh 19
 Carrie Ann Rols 15
 Constance Elizabeth Naon 21
 Tammie Charlene Liles
 Keli K. McGinness 18
 Kelly Marie Ware 22
 Tina Marie Thompson 22
 April Dawn Buttram 17
 Debbie May Abernathy 26
 Tracy Ann Winston 19
 Maureen Sue Feeney 19
 Mary Sue Bello 25
 Patricia Osborn 19
 Pammy Annette Avent 16
 Delise Louise Plager 22
 Kimberly Lee Nelson 26
 Lisa Lorraine Yates 26
 Mary Exzetta West 16
 Cindy Anne Smith, 17

Mr. Ridgeway said he killed the women so fast he didn't always remember their faces. The first known murder occurred around July 6, 1982. He was arrested November 30, 2001. He killed for nineteen years. The youngest victim was 15 years old and the oldest victim was 36-years old. He murdered both black and white women. The color of the woman did not matter the only concern the killer had was that the women were accessible. Would you not say if Mr. Gary Ridgway murdered the 49 women above he considered killing a career. What is the cost of a woman's life compared to the man-hours it would require tailing a man throughout the day? Mr. Gary Leon Ridgway proved that a man does not have to stop killing just because he is suspected of murder. Early in the investigation the police set a trap for Mr. Ridgway but the trap was foiled by an overzealous news reporter with a big mouth. Mr. Ridgway also proved that a murderer can pass a lie detector test.

Mr. Robert Lee Yates, 47, of Washington was convicted of murdering

Jennifer Joseph 16
 Darla Sue Scott 29
 Melinda Mercer 34
 Shawn L. Johnson 36
 Laurel A. Wason 31
 Shawn A. McClenahan 39
 Sunny G. Oster 41
 Linda M. Maybin 34
 Michelyn J. Darning 47
 Connie LaFontaine-Ellis 35
 Melody Murfin, 43 last seen May 20, 1998

He was suspected in the murders of

Yolanda Sapp 26
 Nickie I. Lowe 34
 Kathleen Brisbois 38
 Sherry Anne Palmer 19

Patricia L. Barnes 60
Shannon R. Zielinski 39
Heather L. Hernandez, 20

The first known murder occurred February 21, 1990. He was arrested April 18, 2000. He killed for ten years.

Murderers like David Carpenter, Gerald Eugene Stano, Eric Armstrong and Daytona Leroy Rogers were in their infancy they were just getting started when they were apprehended. It was quite evident these men were going to continue to murder until they were stopped. They made a career of murdering women.

David Carpenter was convicted in California for the murders of

Anne Kelly Menjivar,
Edna Kane
Barbara Schwartz, 23
Anne Evelyn Alderson, 26
Shawna May, 25,
Diane O'Connell, 22,
Cynthia Moreland, 18,
Richard Towers, 19.
Ellen Marie Hansen, 20
Heather Scaggs, 20

Mr. Carpenter was convicted of seven murders but he was suspected of thirteen murders. He was arrested May 1981. The first murder occurred October 11, 1980. Gerald Eugene Stano was executed in the state of Florida for the murder of two women. He was convicted for murdering:

Ann Arceneaux, 17	Susan Basile, 12
Toni Vann Haddock	Nancy Heard
Bonnie Hughes	Janine Liglino
Mary Carol Mahar	Mary Sower

Eric Armstrong, 26, was convicted in the state of Michigan for murdering:

Rose Marie Felt	Kelly Jean Hood, 34
Monica Johnson	Wendy Jordan
Nicole Young, 16 suspected	

Daytona Leroy Rogers was sentenced to death in the state of Oregon for the murder of:

Jennifer Lisa Smith 25	Lisa Marie Mock, 23
Maureen Ann Hodges	Reatha Gyles, 16
Christine Lotus Adams, 35	Cynthia Devore, 21
Nondace Kae Cervantes, 26	Unidentified Woman

Dayton Leroy Rogers was indicted May 4, 1988. The murders began in 1987. He has not been executed and will probably die in prison of old age.

Source: The Seattle Times, New York Times, The Crime Library, The Detroit Free Press; see bibliography.

The above men listed as murderers were neighbors, co-workers, husbands and fathers they lived among us in the daylight. These men were friends and family to someone. They lived among us drinking beer and partying with friends like Mr. Andre Crawford. Superior intellects they were not. The only thing that these career rapists knew that we did not know is who were their first victim and their last victim. Remember Mr. Robert Lee Yates. He was mums on the murder of Melody Murfin until he and his attorney struck a deal with the prosecuting attorney so Mr. Yates would not be sentenced to death. Mr. Eugene

Stano did the exact same thing years earlier. He too wanted to avoid being sentenced to death (Gerald Gallego fought for years to avoid being put to death). Mr. Eugene Watts also escaped the death penalty. Now Mr. Ridgway has escaped the death penalty by providing investigators with needed information on his victims. We doubt if Oregon will try him for the murder of the two women found in their state. How can there be equality in the law when some men are sentenced to death and other men are not? You can expect the status of these particular murderers to become an issue in the future of death penalty cases in the country.

For your information we just discussed the murder of 113 American women in the states of California, Florida, Michigan, Oregon, Texas and Washington. Seven ordinary looking men committed these murders. None of these men were of superior intellect but all were Career Rapist dedicated to murdering women. *You must remember the career rapist is hiding in plain sight.* He may be your date, the man sitting next to you in the movie. Or he may be the man who is engaged to you (Antonio Donnell Oesby). He may be the man you cook dinner for every day (Eric Armstrong).

Chapter 1

The Career Rapist

The third volume of *Do This in Remembrance of Me* is devoted to the subject of rape and the Career Rapist. We have named the men who continuously rape women Career Rapists to focus your attention to this specific group of men. We hope our concentrated attention to this subject will produce a sharper and clearer picture in your mind of the phenomenon of rape and the men who commit rape. These men are devoted to committing rape and devoted to avoiding detection. Because their goals conflicts with the goals of society we need to know what these men look like, where these men live and work, how we can best identify them and finally how we can protect society from the behavior of these men. Murder for law enforcement should be the most important issue in this country not money such as a bank heist. In an April 17, 2002 press release, the Harvard School of Public Health announced American females are at the highest risk for murder. The press release said 70% of all women killed in industrial nations are killed in America. The United States female homicide victimization rate is five times that of all other high income countries combined. A female in the United States is three times more likely to be murdered than a female in Canada, five times more likely to be murdered than a female in Germany, and eight times more likely to be murdered than a female in England and Wales.

In 2002 the Harvard School of Public Health published a study showing that seven out of ten women who were murdered in the world's wealthiest countries were murdered in the United States. The United States ranks the highest in international homicides for women. Approximately 6,300 women per year are killed in the 25 richest countries in the world of these 6,300 women 4,400 of these women are Americans. The weapon of choice for these murders is the gun and the assailant is normally the husband, the boyfriend or the rejected lover or suitor. One of the contributing factors to these statistics is the murder followed the commission of adultery. For your information 3,251 women were murdered in the United States in 2002. When former United States Surgeon General C. Everett Koop made his appeal to the nation in 1993, 5,529 women were murdered in America. What the statistics do not show are the number of women and children that are raped and murdered by assailants that are on intimate terms with the victim but are not intimate partners. These are the murders of interest in Volume Three. Neither murder nor rape is an isolated event. Someone commits the rape or murder and someone is either raped, or raped and murdered and someone knows the name of the assailant. The more information we have about these men the better society will be able to bring rapists and murderers out of the shadows and into the light where they can be recognized and eventually brought to justice. Please remember the career rapist does not hide in the shadows. His hiding takes place through his concealment of his true nature as he lives among us as our sons, husbands, uncles, cousins and grandfathers.

We, the women of the world, must work, and work, and work to prevent violence against women. Women are raped and murdered, while walking down the street, jogging in the park, camping, attending the movies, going to work, shopping in grocery stores, sleeping in their apartments, playing on the playground, in cemeteries and women are murdered in hospitals. Women are murdered while on vacations, attending college, working on their jobs, riding horse back, playing in a concert, attending church, delivering newspapers or wearing the habit of a nun. Women are murdered while they are pregnant or if they say they are pregnant. Women are murdered while babysitting or while holding their children. These murders are committed by non-intimate persons. Professional women are raped and murdered, not so professional women are raped and murdered and finally women wearing the uniform of a soldier or policeman are raped and murdered. All of these women were living deliberate lives of freedom and self-determination. These women demanded control over their lives without restraint. They believed they had the right to work and recreate. During the process of exercising their right to self-determination they lost their lives (e.g. Dawn Rachel Bruce). Women are murdered for money. Women are murdered

because they are in the way. Think of a reason and a woman in America has been murdered for just that reason. Women who are grandmothers, sisters, aunts, nieces, wives, stepmothers and women who are mothers have been murdered. Women are murdered in the home and women are murdered outside the home. Women are murdered everywhere including hospitals, group homes and convalescent homes. Think of a place in America and a woman has been murdered there. Women have been murdered in alleys, in hotels, in taxicabs, in drug stores and in funeral homes. Women are murdered when they are working and murdered when they are not working. Women are murdered when they are standing and murdered when they are sitting. No personal relationship or position precludes a woman from being murdered.

Maria Ciallella, 17, of New Jersey, Elizabeth Maren Hutson, 28, of Washington, Dawn Hendershot of Ohio, Betsy Bacon of New Jersey, and Jackie Burden, 27, of North Carolina were murdered after walking down the street

Maria Alves of New York City and Chelsea King California were murdered while jogging in a park

Rebecca Wright, 29, of New York, Julianne Williams, 24, and Lollie Winans, 26, were murdered while camping in a park

Verna Gholston, 39, of Michigan was murdered going to work

JoAnn Bartholomew, 47, of Ohio, Frances Beck, 78, of Michigan, Cheryl Bonaventure, 18, of Colorado Irene Gizynski, 79, Diane Ricketta of Michigan, and Mary Jo Pesho of Ohio were murdered while shopping

Jameaka Davis, 22, of Michigan, Eleanor E. Johnson, 98, of Michigan, and Paulette Cramer, 47, of Michigan were murdered while they were sleeping

Felicia Brown, 20 months, Sadi Fakhouri, 7, and Shelby Tucker of Mississippi were murdered while they were playing

Alicia Reed, 11, of Michigan was murdered while delivering newspapers

Kristi Frontera, 32, of in Michigan, Susie Jaeger, 7, of Montana, Joan Rogers, Michelle Rogers and Christie Rogers were murdered in Florida while vacationing

Stephanie Renee Schmidt of Kansas, Kristen Smart, 19, of California, Jennifer Lynn Stone, 22, of Georgia and Leann Whitlock, 19, of Virginia were murdered while attending college

Debra DeAnn Polk, 26, of Florida, Sarah Blessing, 37, of Missouri and Debra Goniwicha, 21, were murdered while they were at work.

Shannon (Mohr) Davis was murdered while she was horseback riding.

Cynthia Sedgwick, 26, of Ohio, and Barbara Blatnik, 17, of Ohio were murdered while attending a concert

Mae Lee and Patronella Luke of Michigan were murdered in church.

Sister Tadea Benz, 76, of Texas, Sister Mary Julien Fortin, 67, Sister Mary Ann Glinka and Sister Joanne Marie Masche, 58, of Ohio were murdered although they were nuns.

Tara Cantrell, 19, of Georgia, Kristy Couch, 22, of Michigan, Elaine Dardeen of Illinois and Larisa

Dumansky, 27, of South Dakota and Leann Fletcher, 29, of Michigan were murdered while they were pregnant.

Mary Anne Hulbert, 13, of Michigan, Rebecca Stowe, 15, of Michigan and Mindee Kalka, 14, of Michigan were murdered after they said they were pregnant.

Dolores Harris of New York, Hannah Clay, 14, Ashley Jones, 12, of Washington, and Agnes Banks of New York were babysitting when they were murdered.

Tammy Shannon was murdered while holding her child

Gail Warren a nurse, Diane Balzer, 51, a schoolteacher, Peggy Carr, an interior designer, Judith Lopinson, a restaurateur, Nina Nichols, 69, a physiotherapist, Dorothy Raczkowski, 60, a cattle rancher, were all professional women when they were murdered.

Crystal Renee Sedam, 20, of Indiana, Isabella Taylor, 19, of Michigan and Mary Ann Wells, 31, of California were women murdered who were not professionals.

Marjorie Mason of the USAF and Elaine A. H. Tyree of the USA and Officer Milta Bruetsch of New Jersey and Officer Elizabeth Cobbs were women who wore the uniform of the military and the police when they were murdered.

Something new and wonderful must come from the deaths of Maria Ciallella, Elizabeth Maren Hutson, Dawn Hendershot, Betsy Bacon, Jackie Burden, Maria Alves, Rebecca Wright, Julianne Williams, Lollie Winans, Verna Gholston, JoAnn Bartholomew, Frances Beck, Cheryl Bonaventure, Irene Gizynski, Diane Ricketta, Mary Jo Pesho, Jameaka Davis, Eleanor Johnson, Paulette Cramer, Felicia Brown, Sadi Fakhouri, Shelby Tucker, Alicia Reed, Kristi Frontera, Susie Jaeger, Joan Rogers, Michelle Rogers, Christie Rogers, Stephanie Renee Schmidt, Kristen Smart, Leann Whitlock, Debra DeAnn, Sarah Blessing, Debra Goniwicha, Shannon (Mohr) Davis, Cynthia Sedgwick, Barbara Blatnik, Mae Lee, Patronella Luke, Sister Tadea Benz, Sister Mary Julien Fortin, Sister Mary Ann Glinka, Sister Joanne Marie Masche, Tara Cantrell, Kristy Couch, Elaine Dardeen, Larisa Dumansky, Leann Fletcher, Mary Anne Hulbert, Rebecca Stowe, Mindee Kalka, Dolores Harris, Hannah Clay, Ashley Jones, Agnes Banks, Tammy Shannon, Gail Warren, Diane Balzer, Peggy Carr, Judith Lopinson, Nina Nichols, Dorothy Raczkowski, Crystal Sedan, Isabella Taylor, Mary Ann Wells, Marjorie Mason, Elaine A. H. Tyree, Officer Milta Bruetsch and Officer Elizabeth Cobbs,

We, the women who are left behind, must narrow our focus and bring forth a new life in their names. To bring this new life in the world we must have information.

Again we caution our readers that if you have been a victim of violence or a member of your family has been a victim of violence that you do not read this book. While we have tried to use extreme care there is no way one can reduce the anguish that will be felt by relatives and friends reading this book. While this book was being written one researcher accidentally met family members and friends of eight murder victims. The family members were two uncles, an aunt, a niece and a sister and a person who knew three murder victims. These people were met purely by chance. One person was met in an elevator, one person was met in an office lobby, one person was met during a business meeting, one person was met during a job interview and the last person was met at a restaurant. The last person the researcher met was a waitress in a restaurant. The waitress's aunt was recently murdered. When the victim's names were mentioned the researcher recognized the victim immediately. After these experiences the researcher realized the probability of meeting a person touched by violence is great. We write this book with due respect to the victims and their families. Rape is not a pleasant subject and it is not a subject frequently written about. But rape must be discussed if we are to influence and control this behavior. Over 500,000 rapes take place in this county a year. There is no other single crime that

reaches 500,000 in number in one year other than the offense of rape. Although the number of criminal offenses committed in this county has reached the 10 million mark, no one crime against a single populous equals the crime of rape and the figure of 500,000 victims is a conservative estimate. Rape is the least discussed subject in America. Rape is not discussed among men because 99% of the time men are the perpetrators. Rape is also not discussed by men because they feel helpless as fathers or as brothers to prevent rape so they avoid the subject. Rape is also not discussed by men because the subject of rape embarrasses them. People often avoid discussing behaviors or situations they are afraid of or believe they have no control over. Many women discuss rape in whispers. They sneak up on the subject. Some women believe just their behavior alone can stop rape. Women tell themselves I live in a good area, rape can't happen here. My children don't associate with people who commit rape. Rape can't happen here. Rape happens to the other people. Some women condemn the rape victim. Women avoid the subject they do not want to believe they can ever be a rape victim. If a woman is a rape victim, she does not want to or can't discuss the experience and testifying in court terrifies her. One of the Career Rapists we will discuss was accused of rape but his accuser said she did not appear in court because she did not believe he would be prosecuted because of her reputation. Here lies the problem the number of rapes committed in this country and abroad is directly related to the silence of women especially young women who are trying to fit in with a group. The women in America are directly responsible for the number of rapes endured by women in this country. This is an unpopular belief but consider how many lives would be saved if women demanded longer prison sentences and mandatory incarceration for our garden variety rapists. There was a man living in Florida he was incarcerated more than three times for rape. It was not until he murdered a woman was he finally incarcerated permanently. Why does it take murder before these men are stopped permanently. Women are responsible because the women who have been raped have held their tongue and the women who have not been raped have allowed society to victimize the rape victim. We need to fight the men who commit rape. As you read take a close look at the number of men who raped and were convicted and released from prison after a short stay. We have men raping children spending less time in prison than dope dealers or bank robbers or stick up men. The most amazing rapist is a Michigan man who was convicted of rape; he served a short sentence and was paroled. After parole he married and had a child. The next time he appeared in court he was accused of raping his daughter. Women run from confrontation. Women continuously allowed uninformed women and uninformed men to blame the woman in rape cases. Over and over again we keep hearing she asked for it, a woman could not be raped if she fights back. We are aware of those women who scream rape and their motive is money. The women who holler rape for money are another matter. Here we are concerned with the true rape victim not the woman who hollers rape with the intentions of entering civil court demanding money from her wealthy target. We repeatedly blame the woman in rape cases. If she weren't wearing her dress so tight, she would not have been raped. The case of Caroline Isenberg disproves this notion.

Caroline Isenberg was raped and brutally attacked. There is no way anyone reading her story would remain unaffected. Caroline Isenberg died thinking if she had not resisted the attack, she would have survived the rape. Someone found Caroline Isenberg after the attack and took her to the hospital for treatment. While in the hospital she told the doctors she should have not tried to fight the rapist. Caroline Isenberg died as the men and women in the emergency room fought to save her life. Let no one in this country ever say again a woman should be blamed for her rape. Let no one in this country ever say that a woman cannot be raped if she fights back or locks her legs. Miss Isenberg moved to New York seeking a career as a model and an actress. She was a beautiful and talented young woman. She possessed all the attributes a woman needed to succeed as an actress and model yet she was murdered before she realized any of her dreams. Caroline Isenberg was not responsible for her death. The man who murdered her was responsible for her death. He was weak in mind and spirit. Her assailant had no career. He did not think about his future. He had no plans for tomorrow. He was one of the men hanging onto the periphery of life. He was living in his imagination never coming out into reality. When Caroline Isenberg resisted his overtures he became angry because he repulsed her. He was enraged that Miss Isenberg thought him offensive. He knew he lacked the morals and characters to attract a woman of substance. This is why her rejection infuriated him. The police found him hiding in the broiler room in the basement of the apartment where he murdered Caroline Isenberg. His father owned the apartment building. He raped and murdered

Caroline Isenberg because she rejected him. When one discusses rape one is in essence discussing the self-worth of the person who is raped. The woman who is raped is less of a woman than the woman who is not raped because the woman who was raped was not selective in her companion, she created the atmosphere that led to rape, she should have known better etc, etc. etc. These nuances keep resurfacing in conversations throughout society because the average person is ignorant of the crime of rape. Women must stand up and endure the embarrassment and humiliation and report all incidences of sexual assaults and rapes. And non-rape victims must stand up and help these women face their attackers in court or anywhere they have to face these monsters of the shadows.

In the year 2000 a young woman in Michigan faced her rapist in court. She told the prosecuting attorney she was alone with a man in a hotel room and he raped her. When her case was scheduled she stood alone. No one from our society was in that courtroom supporting her. The man she faced was formidable. He was the local sheriff. He had been sheriff well over 20 years. He was entrenched in the community. He appeared on television everyday warning drivers about buckling their seat belts or warning people not to drink and drive in his county. He had rubbed shoulders with the best of them. How many times do you think this sheriff has had conversations with prosecutors? How many times has this man handled or helped prepare rape cases? This man was secure in his position the young woman was not. This young woman had to face her tormentor, the defense attorney, the judge, the jury and the bystanders all by herself. Imagine being brought into a courtroom filled with people who you believe will automatically support the sheriff because of his position in the community. She had to be trembling inside. We do not know how she did this alone. If she was being supported, her support was not visible. The defense attorney decided to fight her accusations by saying the two people had consensual sex, thereby nullifying her statement that she was forced to have sex with the sheriff; discounting the suggestion that the sheriff used his position of power to rape another human being. This was a brilliant strategy. Everyone knows how much sex single women have today. Everyone knows the moral standards of the American women. You don't have to ask an American woman for sex they will ask you. All a man has to do is stand on a street corner and a woman will oblige him. Right! This strategy was an angle to bring the judge and jury into the defense's camp. It was to bring them to a point where they would identify with the sheriff. It made the sheriff the victim not the young woman. Imagine garnering sympathy to the perpetrator. What the sheriff did was morally wrong but he did not commit a crime. Any man could understand. Notice the proposition. Any man could understand. Men make mistakes but that is not enough to take a man's career away from him and humiliate him in public. A man should not be punished for adultery by bring him into a courtroom to be publicly humiliated for doing what any man would do under the circumstances. This was the same logic used when Mr. Caulley was not removed from the family home at Kathleen Caulley's request men identifying with other men. This was the same logic that led to the death of Jacqueline Ponke. Do you know the circumstances surrounding the 1986 death of Mrs. Pamela Nigro Dunn, 22, of Arlington, Massachusetts? She went before a judge and asked for police protection. The judge berated her in court. Needless to say she did not get the protection she asked. She was later murdered. Her body was riddled with bullets. Mrs. Dunn was shot, stabbed and strangled then dumped in a scrap yard. The judge said publically that he thought the Abuse Prevention Act was a waste of his time and the taxpayers' money. The judge was not removed from the bench. The state supreme court just slapped his hand. Please order the article, Preventable, not Inevitable, Boston Globe, The (MA), March 31, 2002, Author: Eileen McNamara. By the way Mrs. Dunn was five months pregnant when she was murdered and her husband bragged to the police how he was going to kill her. **No one stopped him.**

The associations made every day by men of law enforcement and the judiciary has led to the deaths of hundreds of women. Somehow the man is right. The woman's actions precipitated the attack. She should have worked harder to preserve her marriage. She should have been more understanding or more supportive. The woman's death is blamed on her life style or the reason why the woman's murder case is unsolved is because she was a junky whore. If she didn't sell her body no one would have murdered her. In the above rape case the defense's logic was squinting in two directions. It was suggested people were celebrating at a conference being held at a hotel. A person has too much to drink he has inappropriate thoughts. He acted on these thoughts. Given the situation any man might do the same. Since his wife forgave him so should the jury. Notice the defense said any man might do the same. Not any man would

do the same. The use of the word might instead of the word would, allowed the male jurors to be self-righteous yet understanding. As jurors they would never have sex with a woman other than their wife, but they could understand another man in that position. Also this tactic would help the adulterous women on the jury to understand a moral lapse in judgment. The defense was also counting on using the prejudice society has against women who go into hotel rooms with men. The defense was a slam-dunk. Use the history of promiscuous women against this young lady and one will win. What the defense did not calculate is this man was not an ordinary man he was a sheriff. He was a man of authority. He was the very man a woman should trust. Let us say it again 'a police officer is the one man a woman should be able to trust.' We repeat it 'a Police Officer is the one man a woman should be able to trust'. By definition a police officer is a cut above all other men because these men live by a code of conduct. A police officer is a person who is above reproach. He is a person who can be trusted when no one else can be counted on. There is no other professional in public life in the world with more immediate authority than a police officer. Children trust police officers. The elderly trust police officers. People in time of disasters trust police officers. Women are abducted from their cars because they trust police officers. Later in the book you will learn of a woman murdered after being in a fire because the man who approached her posed as a police officer. The trust people have in police officers is the problem the defense could not overcome. But in this case the only person this young woman could trust was the hotel employee she went to for help. After being raped she was too afraid to call the local police because she feared for her life and she felt the police would believe him not her (Please note a woman told a New York police officer she was held in a dungeon by a man who repeatedly raped her she was not believed; a woman accused a Berkley, Michigan police officer of rape she was not believed). This is absolutely incredulous. Simper Fi over morality. For some reason law enforcement and the judiciary have perceptions of women that cloud their judgment and these perceptions cost some women their lives. How many of you believe if this woman had called the police and reported the rape she would have been believed and that the sheriff would have been promptly arrested and prosecuted? It was obvious from the beginning of the trial this woman was not very complicated. She was fighting with what she had and that was the truth. She was plain and simple. She was not immaculately dress. She was a no brainier. She had no hills and valleys. She was attacked she was not planning the publication of a book. When her case was brought to the public's attention everyone wanted to know why she waited so long to accuse the sheriff of rape. She had to have a hidden agenda. Who put her up to this? What did she really want? Maybe she was mad because the sheriff had refused to leave his wife for her. The alleged assault had happened a year ago, there were no broken teeth or bones. People from the sheriff's community said you were not injured so why bring it up now and make society deal with your problem. So you had a night of rough sex. So you thought you could take the sheriff away from his wife. You got over it so why bring it up now. All you had to do was go on with your life. What do you hope to gain by bringing these charges against a man who has been a pillar of our community? If you heard her testify you would hang you head in tears. This woman appeared in court and fought with what she had and that was the truth. And there was a saving grace in that courtroom.

You could see a hand moving throughout the proceedings. There was someone sitting with this young woman. He was sitting right beside her. She had a formidable opponent. The bets were against her. People were wagering for the sheriff. Who would believe this woman against the word of a sheriff of twenty odd years in office? Twelve jurors believed her because God was in that courtroom. After the guilty verdict many people said the only reason why the sheriff was convicted was because he was tried in a different county, a county where he did not have influence. By all accounts these people were right because several years later some people living in Macomb County publicized giving an affair to raise money for the sheriff's appeal. Imagine giving an affair to raise money for a convicted rapist. When the trial was being conducted the bets in the street were that the sheriff would not be convicted and if he was convicted the Michigan Court of Appeals would overturn his conviction. The sheriff was incarcerated but it was the Michigan Parole Board that released the sheriff from prison. The action of the Michigan Parole Board said the jury verdict and the judge's sentence was not correct. The sheriff should not have to serve his full term in prison. The next step is for the Michigan Court of Appeals to erase the conviction. Please note the most important factor in this case is that the prosecution believed this woman. Prosecutors do not like prosecuting law enforcement officers. It is bad for relations prosecutors depend upon police officers

Like the woman above women must face the monsters in their lives. Women must look the dragon in the face and slay him. So be it if you first put your hands over your eyes and peer through the opening in your fingers. You must still slay the dragon. Your age does not matter. Sooner or later you have to look the dragon in the eye and slay him. If you are 29 years old you have to look the dragon in the eye and slay him. If you are 64 you have to look the dragon in the eye and slay him. Fine, be afraid, you may tremble inside but you must slay the dragon. If you must hold someone's hand so be it, but you must look the dragon in the eye and slay him. Life demands that you stand up look your monsters in the eye and slay them. As this book is read we hope to dispel the illusions surrounding rape and we hope women learn rape is one of the monsters we must look in the eye and destroy. As you read you will learn more and more about the Career Rapist, and how he has been allowed to continuously prey upon the women of this country. As mothers and aunts we need to support our daughters and nieces by constantly talking about the behavior of rape. As fathers and brothers we need to accompany our daughters and sisters to court when they have to testify and stand with them as they fight to become healthy again. As a country we need to stop running from the ugliness of rape and openly discuss the crime of rape and the relationship of rape to lasciviousness and pornography and child abuse. The Institute's primary goal is to prevent future rapes and murders. We believe women will act accordingly and change their behavior after reading the information contained in this book. Women constantly gather victim information because they know they are the primary targets of violence. After gathering information women usually try and incorporate defensive behaviors into their daily lives. We are hoping for a secondary benefit. Our secondary goal is to change the behavior of men as it relates to women. We are hoping our male readers will realize to their chagrin they often facilitate the rape of women or tacitly support rape behavior. In the end we hope all jokes and laughter in male conversations regarding women cease. It is our sincere hope that after reading this book the men in this country openly reject all behavior that demean the humanness of women. We also hope after people read this book the following phrase is never spoken by any person again, "If he killed her she must have been a bad person because a man just don't up and kill a woman for nothing.

Now to the question what is rape?

Rape has a social and legal definition. The social definition is having sex with a person against his or her will. This definition is inadequate and incomplete. The two broad definitions of rape are forcible rape and statutory rape. Statutory rape is sexual knowledge of a person under a specified age. A broad legal definition of rape is called criminal sexual conduct. The term criminal sexual conduct expands the focus of rape. The definition clearly defines the victim and the perpetrator. It embodies all forms of conduct and classifies this conduct by degrees. Below are various definitions of criminal sexual conduct.

First degree criminal sexual conduct

- (1) A person is guilty of criminal sexual conduct in the first degree if he or she engages in sexual penetration with another person and if any of the following circumstances exists:
 - (a) That other person is under 13 years of age.
 - (b) That other person is at least 13 but less than 16 years of age and any of the following:
 - (i) The actor is a member of the same household as the victim.
 - (ii) The actor is related to the victim by blood or affinity to the fourth degree.
 - (iii) The actor is in a position of authority over the victim and used this authority to coerce the victim to submit.
 - (c) Sexual penetration occurs under circumstances involving the commission of any other felony.
 - (d) The actor is aided or abetted by 1 or more other persons and either of the following circumstances exists:
 - (i) The actor knows or has reason to know that the victim is mentally incapable, mentally incapacitated, or physically helpless.
 - (ii) The actor uses force or coercion to accomplish the sexual penetration. Force or coercion

- includes but is not limited to any of the circumstances listed in subdivision (f)(i) to (v).
- (e) The actor is armed with a weapon or any article used or fashioned in a manner to lead the victim to reasonably believe it to be a weapon.
 - (f) The actor causes personal injury to the victim and force or coercion is used to accomplish sexual penetration. Force or coercion includes but is not limited to any of the following circumstances.
 - (i) When the actor overcomes the victim through the actual application of physical force or physical violence.
 - (ii) When the actor coerces the victim to submit by threatening to use force or violence on the victim, and the victim believes that the actor has the present ability to execute these threats.
 - (iii) When the actor coerces the victim to submit by threatening to retaliate in the future against the victim, or any other person, and the victim believes that the actor has the ability to execute the this threat. As used in this subdivision, “to retaliate” includes threats of physical punishment, kidnapping, or extortion.
 - (iv) When the actor engages in the medical treatment or examination of the victim in a manner or for purposes which are medically recognized as unethical or unacceptable.
 - (v) When the actor, through concealment or by the element of surprise, is able to overcome the victim.
 - (g) The actor causes personal injury to the victim, and the actor knows or has reason to know that the victim is mentally incapable, mentally incapacitated, or physically helpless.
 - (h) That other person is mentally incapable, mentally disabled, mentally incapacitated, or physically helpless, and any of the following:
 - (i)The actor is related to the victim by blood or affinity to the fourth degree.
 - (ii)The actor is in a position of authority over the victim and used this authority to coerce the victim to submit.
- (2) Criminal sexual conduct in the first degree is a felony punishable by imprisonment in the state prison for life or for any term of years.

Second degree criminal sexual conduct

- (1) A person is guilty of criminal sexual conduct in the second degree if the person engages in sexual contact with another person and if any of the following circumstances exists;
 - (a) That other person is under 13 years of age.
 - (b) That other person is at least 13 but less than 16 years of age and any of the following:
 - (i)The actor is a member of the same household as the victim.
 - (ii)The actor is related by blood or affinity to the fourth degree to the victim.
 - (iii) The actor is in a position of authority over the victim and the actor used this authority to coerce the victim to submit.
 - (c) Sexual contact occurs under circumstances involving the commission of any other felon
 - (d) The actor is aided or abetted by 1 or more other persons and either of the following circumstances exist:
 - (e) The actor knows or has reason to know that the victim is mentally incapable, mentally incapacitated, or physically helpless.
 - (ii) The actor uses force or coercion to accomplish the sexual contact. Force or coercion includes but is not limited to any of the circumstances listed in sections 520b(1)(f)(I) to (v).
 - (e) The actor is armed with a weapon, or any article used or fashioned in a manner to lead a person to reasonably believe it to be a weapon.
 - (f) The actor causes personal injury to the victim and force or coercion is used to accomplish the sexual contact. Force or coercion includes but is not limited to any of the circumstances listed in section 520b(1)(f)(I) to (v).
 - (g) The actor causes personal injury to the victim and the actor knows or has reason to know that the victim is mentally incapable, mentally incapacitated, or physically helpless.
 - (h) That other person is mentally incapable, mentally disabled, mentally incapacitated, or physically

helpless, and any of the following”

- (i) The actor is related to the victim by blood or affinity to the fourth degree.
 - (ii) The actor is in a position of authority over the victim and used this authority to coerce the victim to submit.
- (2) Criminal sexual conduct in the third degree is a felony punishable by imprisonment for not more than 15 years.

Fourth degree criminal sexual conduct

- (1) A person guilty of criminal sexual conduct in the fourth degree if he or she engages in sexual conduct with another person and if either of the following circumstances exists:
 - (a) Force or coercion is used to accomplish the sexual contact. Force or coercion includes but is not limited to any of the circumstances listed in section 520b(1)(f)(I) to (v).
 - (b) The actor knows or has reason to now that the victim is mentally incapable, mentally incapacitated, or physically helpless.
- (2) Criminal sexual conduct in the fourth degree is a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment for not more than 2 years, or by a fine of not more than \$500.00, or both

The above definition was taken from an educational web site and listed here to demonstrate the scope of sexual assaults. These definitions are not applicable to all states. The above definitions are much more comprehensive than the word rape. You can see from the definitions what information defense attorneys use to destroy the credibility of rape victims. The defense attorney's performance in part accounts for the huge number of men who are given bail and probation for the offense of rape lack of jail space account for the remainder of the releases. It is the defense attorney's job to defend his client. As you will later see in Volume Five, The Ultimate Punishment, in 2004 a federal judge threatened to have an attorney disbarred for not representing the interest of his client.

According to the Uniform Crime Report published by the Federal Bureau of Investigations, a unit of the Justice Department, rape called forcible rape is defined as the carnal knowledge of a female forcibly and against her will. Only females can be victims of forcible rape with respect to the data collected and reported in the Uniform Crime Report. The Uniform Crime Report recognizes three conditions involving rape. Actual rapes by force and attempted rapes (assaults to commit rape) and statutory rapes. The Federal Bureau of Investigation neither collects nor reports on statutory rapes. Nor does it report on incestuous rape. Reporting on statutory rape might be a good barometer of the number of vulnerable females impregnated in this country by older males, denoting the abuse of young females. This should dispute the notion that all teenage pregnancies are the fault of promiscuous young women. There are adult men in this country that engage in sexual relationships with teenage girls knowing they do not have to fear the legal community. When some of the young girls become pregnant the men kill them (Washington). There have been several cases where adult males seeking relationships with minor females have murdered the parents. Statistics on males who commit statutory rape may also help to identify the male who is transforming into a sexual predator. The teenage rapists (male's ages 13, 14, 15, 16) that think sexual acts of cruelty are funny. These young males think forcing a young girl to have sex is funny. If statutory rape were widely prosecuted, the number of teenage pregnancy would decline.

The Federal Bureau of Investigations collects extensive data on forcible rape and attempted sexual assaults from reporting agencies and penal institutions throughout the country. Data is collected on the age of the assailant, race of the assailant and areas in the country where the assault took place. The crime of rape is placed on a crime scale, as are other crimes so one can see whether rape and other crimes are increasing or decreasing throughout the country. Congress was asked as early as 1909 to develop a system to collect criminal statistics throughout the country. On May 29, 1929 the American Crime Study Commission asked the Congress to have the Bureau of the Census collect criminal statistics. In 1931 the National Commission on Law Observance and Enforcement published the "Report on Criminal Statistics". The report was developed in response to the need for a uniform reporting code and the collection of data for analysis and publication. The report was given directly to the president of the United States.

The report explained the need for a centralized unit to gather and compile statistics on crime, criminals, the judicial system and the penal system. The report had sweeping consequences and eventually led to the Uniform Crime Report now compiled and published by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Sourcebook compiled and published by the Bureau of Justice all under the authority of the Department of Justice. The people who collected the data relied heavily on the science of Statistics. Statistics is a branch of Mathematics. It is a very difficult subject to master because of the numerous logical consequences of formulae but it is an especially important field because it is used extensively by business and the media in delivering information to the public. In the hand of unscrupulous individuals statistics can be used to shape public opinion for or against products, people, phenomena etc.

Beware whenever you hear anyone use the word percent. Statisticians know the public is impressed by the high numerical value of a percentage. People look at low numerical percentages as bad or not good and high numerical percentages as good or desirable. People automatically extrapolate when they hear percentages. Business and the media use the word percentage to foster the notion everyone likes a particular product or everyone is eating a particular cereal or everyone is driving a certain car etc. For example a broadcaster can say 70% of our viewers said they enjoy our programming. Seventy percent is impressive only if one knows the base (the number of people interviewed) from which the percentage was derived. You have never heard the McDonalds Restaurant publish 70% of people eat McDonalds hamburgers. McDonald has always published the number of hamburgers eaten to date. The McDonalds Restaurant decided to impress the country with the number of hamburgers actually eaten rather than use a percentage of the hamburger market. Goodyear is another company that uses the number of tires it manufactures to impress the public it never uses a percentage to compare their company to another tire manufacturer. Percentages can be good or bad depending on the character of the person, who collects, compiles and interprets the data this is why the report of 1931 expressly requested the compilation of criminal statistics be centralized in a federal department. The report enumerated the difficulties involved in collecting data from state agencies. One of the difficulties was gaining cooperation from the various state agencies collecting the data. The states were noted as being obstinate, self-serving in reporting criminal data. The report stated the country needed each state to enact a uniform state law governing the gathering of statistics and that these statistics should be sent to one centralize unit in the federal government that would be responsible for compiling this data into a cohesive report. This unit was to also provide guidance in the collection of statistics. Designating a federal department as the collector and compiler of crime statistics would ensure both the accuracy and brevity of the subjects delineated. Reportedly this unit would not have a purpose in distorting or manipulating the data, therefore the logical unit to collect and distribute criminal data would be the Justice Department of the United States.

In 1997, sixty-six years later, the Bureau of Justice Statistics released a report entitled *Sex Offenses and The Offender*. The bureau began the report by stating there were approximately 234,000 convicted sex offenders under correctional supervision in the United States. We agree there are 234,000 sex offenders under correction supervision but we also believe there are more than 1 million sex offenders not under correctional supervision living in the United States and this is the group causing the greatest suffering to American women and children. This is the group we must begin to define. So they can be caught and punished. We will present our logic later. The problem is not the persons incarcerated it is the person not incarcerated and the person that is not reported to police authorities but whose identity is known that is causing the problem in our homes and on the street. Below is a summary of the Bureau of Justice Statistics report: Their reports stated there were approximately 234,000 convicted sex offenders in the United States under correctional supervision, 60% (140,400) are under conditional supervision in fifty states (approximately 4680 sex offenders per state) either on probation or parole in 1997. The remainder (93,600) was either in jail or prison. Correction supervision means either in jail, prison on parole or probation. Conditional supervision means persons on probation or parole. If the BJS figures are correct then there were 140,400 sex offenders on parole and probation and 93,600 sex offenders in jail or prison in 1997. Therefore, there were at least 140,400 potential sexual assault victims in 1997. The word known is only used in reference to individuals who have been through the judicial system. A sex offender is a

person who

Their Characteristics:

1. Two-thirds of the sex offenders (62,400) in prison victimized persons under 18. Fifty eight percent (36,192) of these particular offenders victimized persons under 12.
2. Half of the rapists that are apprehended are released prior to trial.
3. Half of the persons arrested for rape posted a bond median value \$23,500.
4. Five percent of the prison population is sex offenders. In 1997 the prison population was 1,244,544 - 5% is 62,228 prisoners. The male prison population was 1,123,478 – 5% is 56,174. See chart Incarcerated Sex Offenders.
5. Two thirds of the persons convicted are sentenced to prison; the other third are given probation, or excused.
6. The average prison sentence is about 14 years.
7. Two percent of the persons convicted receive life sentences.
8. Eight out of ten persons accused of rape or sexual assault plead guilty
9. The growth rate of the prison population since 1980 has been about 7.6% the growth rate of sexual offenders is twice this. Their growth rate is greater than all other categories of offenders except drug traffickers.
10. The average time served is 3 ½ to 5 years. This is very important because this practice gives the young offenders a second and third opportunity to rape during their lifetime.
11. Rapists are more likely than other released felons to be rearrested for the offense of rape.
12. 99% of the perpetrators of rape and sexual assault are male. A small fraction of these assaults involve same sex victim and offender or when the offender is female and the victim is male.
13. When the principal circumstance of a murder is known this group commits between 1 to 2% of these murders. There were 405,089 murders committed from 1976 to 1994 the circumstances of 317,925 murders were known (78%). Rape or sexual assault was the principal circumstance for 4897 of these murders.
14. Males under 18 account for 10% of the sexual assault murders since 1976. In the following charts we will give you enough information for you to calculate the number of women murdered by this group.
15. Most rapist and sex offenders know their victim. They are fathers, brothers, cousins, grandfathers, school friends, acquaintances, stepfathers, or neighbors or persons in a position of trust or authority. See Mr. Andre Crawford and Mr. Wallace - see victim and offender chart.

In 1997 there were 84,931 rapes reported and 11,222 attempted rape assaults. A total of 96,193 women were assaulted in 1997. What we do not know is how many women were rape or raped and murdered by old sex offenders compared to the number women rape or raped and murdered by new sex offenders. If this figure were known, we would be better able to judge our parole systems.

Please refer to the above list periodically as you continue to read and use the above information as a basis for your calculations.

Please remember most of the information obtained about rape comes from those victims that survived the attack and from their convicted perpetrators. One frightening trend of the young offenders is that they are placed on probation or given shorter prison sentences. These persons appear to be the individuals who later once paroled or released from probation go on to rape and murder (see Volumes Four and Eight). These men learn from their prison experience that the best way to avoid being imprisoned again is to murder their next victim. Below is the number of known sexual offenders in state prisons in 1990, 1993 and 1995:

Sexual Offenders Incarcerated in State Prisons

Are Sex Offenders
Eligible for Parole?

	1990	1993	1995	
North Dakota	103	93	105	Conditional
Wyoming	251	202	222	Yes
West Virginia	339	250	670	Yes
Vermont	217	252	292	Yes
Rhode Island	283	279	316	Yes
South Dakota	226	289	355	Only lifers excluded
Nebraska	280	352	476	Yes
Maine	289	370		Parole abolished
Hawaii	300	574	506	Yes
New Hampshire	315	400	498	Yes
Delaware	434	469	726	No
New Mexico	467	505		Yes
Idaho	402	539	734	Maybe
Alaska	497	600	691	Yes
Iowa		677	827	Yes
Connecticut	560	684	788	Conditional
Utah	622	755	865	Yes
Nevada	793	825	1,072	Yes
Minnesota	643	842	1,007	No parole system
Mississippi	700	1,017	1,029	Yes
Arizona	797	1,925		No
Kentucky	951	1,231	1,507	Conditional
Arkansas	881	1,282	1,120	Yes
Maryland	DNR	1,641		Unknown
Massachusetts	1,131	1,755	1,800	Yes
Kansas	1,221	1,406	1,742	Yes
Alabama	1,247	1,417	2,084	Yes
Oregon	1,318	1,200	2,040	Yes
Oklahoma	1,449	160	1,760	Yes
Wisconsin	1,467	1,908	2,521	Yes
Virginia	1,585	3,414		Yes
South Carolina	1,681	1,800		Yes
New Jersey	1,761	680	750	Yes
Missouri	1,800	2,031	2,794	Conditional
Colorado	1,693	2,035	1,276	Yes
Washington	1,902	3,129	2,827	Yes
Louisiana	1,981	2,035	2,268	Maybe
North Carolina	2,073	2,862	3,612	Yes
Tennessee	2,344	2,183	2,483	Yes
Indiana	2,500	2,080	2,290	Yes
Georgia	2,554	2,893	3,700	Yes
Pennsylvania	2,746	3,104	5,414	only lifers excluded
Illinois	3,755	3,141	3,372	Yes
New York	3,802	4,159	4,670	Yes
Michigan	3,881	5,633	7,271	Yes
Ohio	4,858			Yes
Florida	4,957	5,614	6,241	Conditional
Texas	6,009	10,609	11,782	Yes
California	15,000	16,000	13,548	Yes

Sub Total	85,065	97,301	100,051	
District of Columbia	82	102	109	Yes
Fed Bur. of Prisons	500	700	542	Yes
Total	85,647	98,103	100,702	

In 1995 there were **100,702** known sex offenders living in state prisons. In 1997 there were **93,600** sex offenders living in state prisons. What happened to the 7,102 sex offenders, were they paroled? The *ninth characteristic* of sex offenders from the BJS Study of 1997 - The growth rate of the prison population since 1980 has been about 7.6% but the growth rate of sexual offenders is twice this. Their growth rate is greater than all other categories of offenders except drug traffickers. When you review the chart note the number of death penalty states that allow the parole of sex offenders. Is this not illogical? Sex offenders are highly recidivistic and will kill. In 2009 Sarah Fowell was murdered her accused assailant is a sex offender. In 2010 Chelsea King was murdered. Her accused assailant is a sex offender. Is it not contradictory to impose the death penalty on one hand and release (parole) potential murderers from prison out the back door? Regarding the above chart each jurisdiction had a specific definition of a “sex offender”. There was no data from Maryland, Montana. To the question is parole conditional if a person completes sex offender course. For thirty-nine states the answer is yes, for four states the answer is no, for five states the answer is conditional, for two states the answer is maybe.

Yes 39 No. 4
 Conditional 5
 Maybe 2 Missing 2

During the reading please note the number of men who murdered after completing a sex offender course, we mention them. To help understand the various criminal offenses we offer the following definitions as provided by the FBI in the Uniform Crime Report of the United States

Forcible Rape: is the carnal knowledge of a female forcibly and against her will, attempts to commit rape is an assault.

Murder: is the willful (non-negligent) killing of one human being by another

Aggravated

Assault: is an unlawful attack by one person upon another for the purpose of inflicting severe or aggravated bodily injury. This type of assault is usually accompanied by the use of a weapon or by means likely to produce death or great bodily harm. Attempts are included since it is not necessary that an injury result when a gun, knife, or other weapon is used which could and probably would result in serious personal injury if the crime were successfully completed.

Robbery: is the taking or attempting to take anything of value from the care custody, or control of a person or persons by force or threat of force or violence and/or by putting the victim in fear.

Burglary the unlawful entry of a structure to commit a felony or theft. The use of force to gain entry is not required to classify an offense as burglary. Burglary has three sub-classifications: forcible entry, unlawful entry where no force is used, and attempted forcible entry.

Arson: any willful or malicious burning, a attempt to burn, with or without intent to defraud, a dwelling, house, public building, motor vehicle

or aircraft, personal property of another etc. determined through investigation – excludes fire of unknown origin or suspicious

Larceny Theft: the unlawful taking, carrying, leading, or riding away of property from the possession or constructive possession of another. It includes crime such as shoplifting, pick-pocketing, purse snatching, theft from motor vehicle, theft of motor vehicle parts and accessories, bicycle theft etc., in which no use of force, violence or fraud occurs. Excluded embezzlement, confidence games, forgery, worthless checks, and auto theft.

Violent Crimes: are murder, non-negligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery and aggravated assault and property crimes of burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft and arson. All violent crimes involve force or threat of force.

Crime Index: is composed of selected offenses used to gauge fluctuations in the overall volume and rate of crime reported to law enforcement

Please keep in mind as we discuss the various rape victims in this book in many cases two crimes were committed. The woman was raped and murdered or raped, robbed and murdered. This distinction is important in death penalty states because it determines whether a defendant is subject to the death penalty. What is going to cause a constitutional crisis in this country is there are men whose crimes fit the criteria for the death penalty but these men are allowed to plea bargain for life in prison without parole while other men are sentenced to death and executed where the only distinction between the two men are the number of women the men murdered.

In some of our reports we were able to separate the data differentiating the rape victim and the victim who was raped and murdered. In this book when we use the term rape we mean the act of forcible rape as defined by the FBI. Also another area of confusion surrounds the use of the term sex offender. In some cases a rapist is called a sex offender rather than a rapist. But he or she is a person who commits a specific crime under the category sex offense. The FBI in the Uniform Crime Report defines a sex offense as: Transporting, coercing, or enticing any individual (including minors) to go from one place to another in interstate or foreign commerce, in the District of Columbia, or any territory or possession of the United States with the intent and purpose to engage in prostitution, or any sexual activity for which any person can be charged with a criminal offense. In the Uniform Crime Report, Sourcebook and the Statistical Abstract the statistics on the crimes of forcible rape, prostitution and sex offenses are reported separately. According to the Uniform Crime Report the crime of rape “occurs most often out of reach of police patrols. Of all the Crime Index offenses, law enforcement administrators recognize that this offense is probably the most under-reported crime by victims to police due primarily to fear and/or embarrassment on the part of many victims. As a national average 18% of all forcible rapes reported to police were determined by police investigation to be unfounded. In other words, the police established that no offense actually did take place. This is caused primarily due to the question of the use of force frequently complicated by a prior relationship between victim and offender. The crime of forcible rape as reported in the Uniform Crime Report however, are limited to actual offenses established by police investigations. Two-thirds of these offenses are by force.

Next we are going to present several charts describing rape statistics taken from the Statistical Abstract of the United States. From 1930 to 1957 the offense of rape, statutory rape and attempted rape were reported in one figure. From 1958 to 1969 statutory rape was no longer reported. The rape statistic included only forcible rape and attempted rape. From 1970 to current forcible rape and attempted rape was reported individually allowing for a clearer picture of violence against women. The use of a date rape drug is classified under forcible rape. The sex act still takes place without the permission of the victim. When Coral Eugene Watts was prosecuted in Texas the judge determined the water was a weapon Mr.

Watts used to try and drown his victim.

If you are of the opinion that the offense of rape has increased only because the population has increased we suggest you do the math. You will see a proportional analysis does not bear this theory out. Population increase is not the only factor contributing to the rise and fall of the offense of rape.

In four and a half decades 2,822,201 women were raped and 595,175 women were assaulted by men trying to rape them. Imagine 2,822,201 women were known to be raped in 45 years. Hidden in these figures is the fact that there were at least 3,417,683 men who raped, raped and murdered or assaulted a woman in America. The problems with these statistics are the number of arrest and convictions related to the accusations of rape and assault.

Forcible and Attempted Rape from 1958 to Current

	Forcible Rape	Attempted Rape	Total
1958	10,081	4,529	14,610
1959	10,233	4,597	14,830
Total	20,314	9,126	29,440
1960	10,892	4,668	15,560
1961	11,207	4,803	16,010
1962	11,417	4,893	16,310
1963	11,480	4,920	16,400
1964	14,386	6,165	20,551
1965	16,100	6,900	23,000
1966	17,500	7,500	25,000
1967	18,970	8,130	27,100
1968	21,742	9,318	31,060
1969	25,529	10,941	36,470
Total	159,223	68,238	227,461
1970	26,888	11,102	37,990
1971	30,580	11,310	41,890
1972	33,894	12,536	46,430
1973	37,230	13,770	51,000
1974	40,855	14,355	55,210
1975	41,501	14,589	56,090
1976	42,085	14,995	57,080
1977	47,024	16,476	63,500
1978	50,590	17,020	67,610
1979	57,958	18,432	76,390
Total	408,605	144,585	553,190
1980	63,599	19,391	82,990
1981	63,038	19,462	82,500
1982	59,967	18,803	78,770
1983	61,019	17,901	78,920
1984	66,367	17,863	84,230

1985	71,060	17,610	88,670
1986	73,453	18,007	91,460
1987	73,456	17,654	91,110
1988	75,441	17,049	92,490
1989	78,411	16,089	94,500
Total	685,811	179,829	865,640

Forcible and Attempted Rape from 1958 to Current

	Forcible Rape	Attempted Rape	Total
1990	86,541	16,019	102,560
1991	91,522	15,068	106,590
1992	93,825	15,235	109,060
1993	92,360	13,650	106,010
1994	89,297	12,923	102,220
1995	85,249	12,211	97,460
1996	84,053	12,197	96,250
1997	84,931	11,222	96,153
1998	82,823	10,321	93,144
1999	79,697	9,714	89,411
Total	870,298	128,560	998,858
2000	81,111	9,075	90,186
2001	82,004	8,859	90,863
2002	86,655	8,580	95,235
2003	85,837	8,046	93,883
2004	87,147	7,942	95,089
2005	86,597	7,750	94,347
2006	85,266	7,491	92,757
2007	83,333	7,094	90,427
2008	74,901	6108	80,909
2009	75,720	5560	81,280
Total	827,787	77,294	905,081
	2,992,352	616,758	3,609,110

A man old enough to assault a woman in 1930 would be 80 years old in the year 2000. If he assaulted her in 1940 he would be 70 years old in the year 2000. If he assaulted her in 1950 he would be 60 years old in the year 2000. If he assaulted her in 1960 he would be 50 years old in the year 2000. If he assaulted her in 1970 he would be 40 years old in the year 2000. If he assaulted her in 1980 he would be 30 years old in the year 2000. If he assaulted her in 1990 he would be 20 years old in the year 2000. We wonder where these men are now. How many of these men are in prison, on parole or on probation. The calculation of the ages is based on the age of the youngest person arrested for the offense of rape. We believe it is important to know the perpetrator's age when he committed his first crime and the age of his first victim. Juveniles have killed at the age of 12. We believe their history will show a progressive malady and that distorted sexual fantasies begin at an early age.

This statement is substantiated by Thomas J. McCarthy of Cleveland, Ohio being arrested September

6, 1999 for assaulting a man while the man was sleeping. Mr. McCarthy had a victim in mind when he broke into the home in Lakewood Ohio. But his prey was a female. He had a fantasy he wanted to act out. All he wanted was to sit in the house and wait until his prey came home. His only problem was when he broke into the house he encountered a man sleeping on a sofa. Instead of leaving the home Mr. McCarthy attacked the sleeping man. He planned to overpower the man, tie the man up then wait for his prey (Peggy Kilroy, 31) to come home. To Mr. McCarthy's dismay the young man overpowered him and promptly had someone call the police. Brian Kilroy, 35, was the young man sleeping on the couch. Brian Kilroy was a muscular 6-foot-1, 205-pound construction worker and a former high school wrestler was able to overpower McCarthy. **Thank you Mr. Kilroy!** Thomas McCarthy entered the home through an unlocked screen door.

After a lengthy investigation police detectives learned **Mr. Thomas McCarthy** had stalked over 2000 women in the Ohio area and had raped and tortured at least two women. Mr. McCarthy is the gold standard for sex offenders. He was well cloaked in the neighborhood. Thomas McCarthy was a respected fireman, father and husband. He even worked a second job a symbol that he was dedicated to the welfare of his family. Actually the second job was a cover. It allowed him to be away from his home for long periods of time; time that he used trailing women, collecting personal data on his victims and getting to know their habits; when and where they went to work, their credit card numbers, license plates, etc. All of this data was fashioned into a fantasy he lived with in his mind everyday. Imagine he had over 2000 names and address and personal information on women in his personal computer at home (diskette). No one expected he was a career rapist. After a deal was worked out Mr. McCarthy told the prosecutor about all his victims. He said he began fantasizing about torturing and raping women when he was young. He said one day when he was young he put on rubber gloves and entered his neighbors home to live out his fantasy. He was caught. His behavior was brought to his mother's attention. He told the prosecutor that once he was trailing two women in a car. He fantasized raping the women. The car chase ended when a police notice the women fleeing his truck. He was charged with a minor traffic infraction and released. He underwent therapy for his fantasy (only his therapist knew). When he could no longer curb his urges he was given Depo Provera. When the drug failed to allay his desires he began hunting for rape victims so he could break into their homes and live out his fantasy of raping and torturing the women. Mr. Thomas J. McCarthy is the man we are looking for. He is the man we want to describe to bring him out of the shadow into the light so women of all ages will expect him thus take appropriate actions with respect to their mail, private papers, and access to their homes. The major factor that made Mr. McCarthy successful was his ability to be away from home for long periods of time including long into the night.

Mr. Gary Ridgway and Mr. Robert Lee Yates of Washington and Mr. Thomas Bunday of Alaska all had this in common, the ability to be away from home for long periods of time and not be suspected. The second factor that made Mr. McCarthy and these men successful is that they were not expected. In this society we keep making sexual offenders anomalies. The sex offender is out there somewhere. He is not living among us. He is not my next door neighbor. He cannot be my friend or drinking buddy therefore we do not look for him among us, making our assumptions the doorways to tragedies. He is your next door neighbor. A child went missing in the city of Detroit. A year or so later her body was found in her neighbor's home. Mr. McCarthy was only sentenced to thirty years in prison for his crimes. He will be eligible for parole in 2030. People are thinking he will be in his 70's when he is parole therefore harmless. Don't you bet on it. He will still be dangerous. Mr. Terry Blair was incarcerated after being convicted of murder. He was paroled after serving 21 years in prison. Seven months after being parole he was arrested for the murder of six women. Question do you know the age of the oldest man in this country charged and convicted of sexual assault. There is no relationship between the length of time an offender is incarcerated in prison to whether he or she will kill or offend again. Nor is there a relationship between age and whether he or she will kill or offend again. All incarceration means is that the state put a person in prison after that person was convicted of a crime. No other inference can be drawn from imprisonment. If your draw any other conclusion from incarceration you will be making a deadly mistake. Please have a pencil and a piece of paper handy while reading the next section. We are going to present several tables for you to read. The math will surprise and overwhelm you. As you read the section "Who Was The First

Victim" keep Mr. Thomas J. McCarthy, Coral Eugene Watts, Alfred Swinton, Gary Ridgway and John Eric Armstrong in mind.

Who was The First Victim

In 1942 354 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 22 youngsters under the age of 15 were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1943 350 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 25 youngsters under the age of 15 were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1944 356 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 27 youngsters under the age of 15 were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1945 380 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 26 youngsters under the age of 15 were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1947 471 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 32 youngsters under the age of 15 were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1948 446 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 37 youngsters under the age of 15 were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1949 442 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 31 youngsters under the age of 15 were arrested for the offense of rape.

The decade total for men age 50 and older arrested for the offense of rape was 2799
The decade total for youngster age 15 and under arrested for the offense of rape was 200.

In 1950 423 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 27 youngsters under the age of 15 were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1951 445 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 13 youngsters under the age of 15 were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1952 85 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 41 youngsters under the age of 15 were arrested for the offense of rape.

The sub total for men age 50 and older arrested for the offense of rape was 953
The sub total for youngster age 15 and under arrested for the offense of rape was 81.

In 1953 144 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 652 youngsters under the age of 18 were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1956 109 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 840 youngsters under the age of 18 were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1957 123 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 932 youngsters under the age of 18 were arrested for the offense of rape.

The sub total for men age 65 and older arrested for the offense of rape was 376
The sub total for youngster age ten and under arrested for the offense of rape was 2424.

In 1961 189 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 1,363 youngsters under the age of 18 were arrested for the offense of rape.
In 1962 155 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 1,505 youngsters under the age of 18 were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1963 222 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 1,656 youngsters under the age of 18 were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1964 229 men over the age of 50 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 1,776 youngsters under the age of 18 were arrested for the offense of rape.

The sub total for men age 50 and older arrested for the offense of rape was 795
The sub total for youngster age 18 and under arrested for the offense of rape was 6300.

In 1967 19 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 19 youngsters under the age of 10 were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1968 26 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 24 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1969 42 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 29 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

The sub total for men age 65 years and older arrested for the offense of rape was 68
The sub total for youngster age ten and under arrested for the offense of rape was 72.

In 1970 40 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 22 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1971 36 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 26 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1972 43 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 24 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1973 70 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 43 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1974 40 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 42 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1975 55 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 45 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1976 67 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 57 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1977 101 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 53 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1978 126 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 75 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1979 130 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 66 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

The decade total for men age 65 and older arrested for the offense of rape was 703
The decade total for youngster age ten and under arrested for the offense of rape was 463.

In 1980 107 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 37 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1981 143 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 56 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1982 111 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 57 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1983 146 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 64 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.
In 1984 205 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 73 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.
In 1985 225 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 85 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1986 203 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 77 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1987 194 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 107 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1988 188 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 98 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1989 232 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 93 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

The decade total for men 65 years and older arrested for the offense of rape was 1754
The decade total for youngsters age ten and under arrested for the offense of rape was 739

In 1990 227 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 89 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1991 204 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 81 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1992 314 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 123 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1993 263 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 99 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense
of rape.

In 1994 251 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 103 youngster under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1995 235 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 72 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1996 183 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 61 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1997 162 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 75 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

In 1998 190 men over the age of 65 were arrested for the offense of rape
That same year 84 youngsters under the age of ten were arrested for the offense of rape.

The decade total for men 65 years or older arrested for the offense of rape was 2029
The decade total for youngsters age ten and under arrested for the offense of rape was 787
Source: The above data was taken from the Statistical Abstract of the United States

The chart we constructed describes 20,542 males, 9,477 males 50 years and older and 11,065 males 18 years and younger.

3752 males 50 years and older from 1942 to 1952
281 males 15 years and older from 1942 to 1952
1171 males 50 years and older from 1953 to 1964
8724 males 18 years and older from 1953 to 1964
4554 males 65 years and older from 1967 to 1999
2061 males 10 years or younger from 1967 to 1999

Take a good look at the chart and figures these are the men who continued to rape over their lifetime. The age of a man who is arrested for rape is very important because how he is treated in court (convicted or give probation or charged on a lesser offense) will determine the number of his future victims. Do you know the age of the oldest man in this country arrested for rape? Twenty thousand five hundred and forty two males is not a large number of men to be arrested for rape compared to the number of men living in the country. But keep in mind over 30 age groups were excluded from the chart. In three decades over 4,486 men 65-years and older were arrested for the offense of rape and during the same period 1,989 males ten or under were arrested for the offense of rape. Six thousand four hundred and seventy-five males is not that large of a figure yet this figure represent the number of potential rapists to be arrested

Is it possible that there is a relationship between the males arrested at the age of 10 or the age of 15 or 18 for the offense of rape and the men who are arrested at the age of 50 or 65 or older for the offense of rape? We believe there is a relationship. We believe the relationship is an identity. We believe the young males arrested for the offense of rape at the age of 10, 15 or 18 are the same men later arrested at the age of 50 or 65 for the offense of rape. We believe these men do not change over time. Better still we believe if they change the change is for the betterment of their profession. They get better at raping and killing women. The most significant fact we want the figures to show is that men age 50 or over have reached grandfather status. So the next time a child says her grandfather raped her she should be believed.

The 22 males arrested at the age of 15 in 1942 would be 65 years old age in the year 1992.
How many of these men committed one of the 93,825 reported rapes.
The 25 males arrested at the age of 15 in 1943 would be 65 years old age in the year 1993.
How many of these men committed one of the 92,360 reported rapes.

The 27 males arrested at the age of 15 in 1944 would be 65 years old in the year 1994.
How many of these men committed one of the 89,297 reported rapes.

The 26 males arrested at the age of 15 in 1945 would be 65 years old in the year 1995. How many of these men committed one of the 85,249 reported rapes. The question we pose now is how many of the 84 youngster under the age of 10 arrested for rape in 1998 will be arrested for rape in the year 2053 at the age of 65. Rapists are known recidivists as are murderers.

The importance of the above questions will be realized when you meet the juvenile rapist. Social scientists want to hold out hope that these individuals can be helped rehabilitated. We shall see. You are going to meet Mr. Christopher Righetti, age 14 and Patrick Baxter, age 13, juveniles who refused to change.

Next is a chart listing rape arrest and the disposition of the case between 1946 to 1963.

Arrests Nationwide/ Arrest for Rape

	Charged	Of Offense Guilty	Of Lesser charged	Reported Charge	Rapes
1946					
arrests	93,361				
rape arrests	1,979	1,720	985	719	266
1947					
arrests	96,192				
rape arrests	2,175	2,026	1,189	850	339

Arrests Nationwide/ Arrest for Rape

	Charged	Of Offense Guilty	Of Lesser charged	Reported Charge	Rapes
1951					
arrests	123,119				
rape arrests	3,018	2,279	1,362	902	460
1952					
arrests	138,161				
rape arrests	2,839	2,189	1,238	868	370
1953					
arrests	143,674				
rape arrests	2,992	2,266	1,413	1,017	396
1954					
arrests	141,434				
rape arrests	2,708	1,953	1,302	943	359
1955					
arrests	138,253				
rape arrests	2,916	2,268	1,321	943	378
1956					
arrests	151,561				
rape arrest	3,002	2,434	1,491	1,059	432

1961					
arrests	194,175				
rape arrests	2,491	2,355	1,424	1,016	408
1962					
arrests	306,826				
rape arrests	3,870	3,064	1,423	896	527
1963					
arrests	308,474				
rape arrests	3,638	2,896	1,426	928	498

Remember page 78 from the Bureau of Justice Report on Sex Offenders number 5, two thirds of the persons convicted are sentenced to prison; the other third are given probation, or excused. The Chart National Arrest/Rape Arrest – Age of Perpetrator arrest for the offense of rape between 1984 and 1996 shows the number of men who rape who are older than 65. The country has a sizeable population of elderly rapists. It is hard to believe these men have not spent time in prison many maybe parolees. The two figures of great concern is the number of men arrested for rape between the ages of 15 to 17 and the number of men who rape between the ages of 55 and older. We also found information in the Statistical Abstract of the United States where we could construct three charts where we knew the arrest represented male assailants. These are charts numbers 5780M, 5781M and 5782M.

Alleged Male Rapist and Sex Offenders

Chart No.3579MF

	Total Males Arrest Rate	Percent Arrested for Male	Males Arrested for			
			Rape	%	Sex Offenses	%
1967	5,518,420		12,659 100		46,569 87	
1968	5,616,839		12,685 100		41,897 88.1	
1969	5,862,246		14,428 100		43,551 86.9	
1970	6,570,473	85.6	15,411 100		43,033 87.2	
1971	6,966,822	85	16,582 100		44,626 88	
1972	7,013,194	84.9	19,374 100		46,536 91	
1973	6,499,864	84.7	19,198 100		44,873 92.2	
1974	6,179,406	83.9	17,804 100		40,894 92.2	
1975	8,013,645	84.3	21,748 99		46,932 92.3	
1976	7,912,348	84.3	21,488 99.1		47,138 91	
1977	9,029,335	84	25,518 88.8		55,543 91.1	
1978	9,775,087	84.2	28,013 99.1		60,493 92.1	
1979	9,506,347	84.3	28,945 99.2		57,764 92.2	
1980	9,703,181	82	29,161 99.1		58,791 92.7	
1981	10,293,575	83.9	29,772 99.1		63,060 92.2	
1982	10,062,343	83.7	28,070 99.1		61,040 92.6	
1983	10,287,309	83.4	29,883 99		71,369 92.5	
1984	8,921,708	83.3	28,074 99.1		70,620 93.3	
1985	10,289,609	82.6	31,585 98.9		80,414 92.6	
1986	10,392,177	82.6	30,780 98.9		77,278 92.1	
1987	10,795,869	82.3	30,908 98.1		78,911 92.2	

1988	10,149,896	88.2	28,137 99	72,522 92.7
1989	11,261,295	81.9	30,178 98.8	77,157 92.4
1990	11,250,083	81.6	30,630 98.9	78,291 92.3
1991	10,743,755	81.3	29,964 98.7	76,440 93
1992	11,893,153	81	32,965 96.7	84,540 92.3
1993	11,765,764	80.5	32,107 98.7	80,211 91.4
1994	11,877,188	80	29,460 98.9	74,991 91.6
1995	11,416,346	79.6	26,249 98.8	66,508 92
1996	11,093,211	79.4	24,066 98.8	64,938 92
1997	10,544,624	78.4	21,855 98.7	63,814 90.9
1998				
1999				
2000				
Total	287,205,112		777,697	1,920,744

2001
 2002
 2003
 2004
 2005
 2006
 2007
 2008
 2009

Total

AGE OF MALES ARRESTED FOR RAPE								
Chart No. 5781M								
	20	21	22	23	24	25 to 29	30 to 34	total
1940								
1941								
1942	400	387	402	266	235	972	689	3351
1943	340	279	258	279	228	953	681	3018
1944	327	299	294	247	231	920	701	3019
1945	343	334	347	281	255	1,025	803	3388
1946								0
1947	717	659	604	506	495	1,730	1,031	5742
1948	707	685	617	489	438	1,634	622	5192
1949	765	705	616	511	453	1,628	926	5604
1950	729	661	603	494	406	1,616	953	5462
1951	609	562	512	477	445	1,615	975	5195
1952	120	110	104	97	91	327	219	1068
		21 to 24				25 to 34		0
1953		735				1,093		1828
1954								0
1955		1,007				1,056		2063
1956								0
1957		997				1,071		2068
1958								0
1959								
								0
1960								0
1961		1,432				1,703		3135
1962		1,514				1,813		3327
1963		1,976				2,269		4245
1964		2,050				2,312		4362
1965								
1966								
1967								
1968								
1969								
Total	5057	14,392	4357	3647	3277	23,737	7600	62067

		Males Arrested for Rape					
Chart No.5782M							Grand
	35 to 39	40 to 44	45 to 49	50 over	unknown		Total
1940							
1941							
1942	473	275	199	354	6		6,081
1943	420	298	222	350	7		5,861
1944	503	370	223	356	0		6,028
1945	543	401	257	435	6		6,702
1946							
1947	709	428	288	471	12		9,742
1948	636	374	255	446	1		9,517
1949	658	395	239	442	2		9,449
1950	603	394	239	423	3		9,323
1951	667	411	280	445	6		8,971
1952	156	93	52	85			2,051
	35 to 44						
1953	425		107	144			4,023
1954							
1955							
1956	437		100	109			4,591
1957	389		90	123			4,780
1958							
1959							
1960							
1961	727		143	189			7,143
1962	770		156	155	1		7,489
1963	947		198	222			9,461
1964	911		179	223			9,450
Total		3439	3227	4972	44		120662

Chart No. 5783M

under 10 to 19
Between 1980 to 1999

The figures listed below represent the number of people arrested for the offense of rape. These figures do not represent the number of people convicted of rape. The figures represent only male perpetrators.

	under 10	10 to 12	13 to 14	15	16	17	18	19
1980								
1981								
1982								
1983								
1984								
1985	78	333	1,210	954	979	1,179	1,278	1,286
1986	73	283	1,109	964	1,110	1,170	1,205	1,260
1987	104	356	1,150	896	1,090	1,203	1,216	1,195
1988	92	262	974	782	886	1,037	1,216	1,122
1989	84	383	1,174	908	962	1,088	1,301	1,244
Total	431	1617	5617	4504	5027	5677	6216	6107
1990	86	335	1,139	848	1,003	1,135	1,429	1,366
1991								
1992								
1993								
1994								
1995								
1996								
1997								
1998								
1999								
Total	86	335	1139	848	1003	1135	1429	1366
Page Total	517	1952	6756	5352	6030	6812	7645	7473
Previous Page								
Grand Total	517	1952	6756	5352	6030	6812	7645	7473

One of the young men represented by a figure above was Clifford Stoup, 14. He murdered his neighbor, Jean Wildes in her home. After his sentencing to life in prison without parole for 25 years a legal battle ensued. His case went to the Kentucky Supreme Court. The state Supreme Court rejected that sentence, saying Stoup's plea bargain called for him to be eligible for parole after serving 12 years. The Kentucky Supreme Court said he was improperly sentenced. December 17, 1998 the court said Clifford Stoup should have been allowed to withdraw his plea in a six page ruling written by Chief Justice Joseph E.

Ages of Males Arrested For Rape
 20 to 39
 Between 1980 to
 1999

Chart No. 5784M

The figures listed below represent the number of people arrested for the offense of rape. These figures do not represent the number of people convicted of rape. The figures represent only male perpetrators.

	20	21	22	23	24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39
1980								
1981								
1982								
1983								
1984								
1985	1,396	1,459	1,397	1,386	1,415	6,184	4,288	2,824
1986	1,337	1,415	1,371	1,355	1,324	6,138	4,245	2,784
1987	1,300	1,302	1,329	1,343	1,369	6,181	4,395	2,832
1988	1,108	1,148	1,145	1,239	1,200	5,582	4,195	2,633
1989	1,199	1,189	1,220	1,160	1,221	5,693	4,489	2,942
Total	6340	6513	6462	6483	6529	29778	21612	14015
1990	1,287	1,302	1,243	1,195	1,174	5,709	4,659	2,861
1991								
1992								
1993								
1994								
1995								
1996								
1997								
1998								
1999								
Total	1287	1302	1243	1195	1174	5709	4659	2861
Page Total	7627	7815	7705	7678	7703	35487	26271	16876

Previous
Page

40 to 65 and over
Between 1980 to 1999

Chart No.5785M

The figures listed below represent the number of people arrested for the offense of rape. These figures do not represent the number of people convicted of rape. The figures represent only male perpetrators.

	40 to 44	45 to 49	50 to 54	55 to 59	60 to 64	65 over		
1980								
1981								
1982								
1983								
1984								
1985	1,649	928	552	365	220	225		
1986	1,490	908	475	351	210	203		
1987	1,577	867	464	335	211	193		
1988	1,551	851	446	305	176	187		
1989	1,694	917	527	335	217	231		
Total	7961	4471	2464	1691	1034	1039	0	0
1990	1,661	917	544	307	203	227		
1991								
1992								
1993								
1994								
1995								
1996								
1997								
1998								
1999								
Total	1661	917	544	307	203	227	0	0
Page Total	9622	5388	3008	1998	1237	1266	0	0
Previous Page								

Arrests For Rape

	Total Arrests	Males Arrested All ages All offenses	Males Arrested For Rape	Males Arrested Under age 18	Males Arrested 18 and older
1960	3,067,443	2,736,979	6,499	1,100	5,399
1967	3,396,937	2,984,505	7,951	1,520	6,431
1968	4,146,684	3,612,436	9,747	1,303	8,444
1969	4,126,216	3,564,368	10,747	1,191	9,556
Total	14,737,280	15,638,251	34,944	5,114	29,830
1970	5,922,688	3,968,794	11,094	2,380	8,714
1971	6,321,047	3,776,841	11,160	2,359	8,801
1972	5,950,936	5,045,451	16,412	3,202	13,210
1973	6,158,514	5,212,599	18,387	3,632	14,755
1974	6,179,406	4,321,549	15,740	3,116	12,624
1975	8,013,645	5,521,491	18,829	3,345	15,484
1976	6,842,569	5,760,357	18,835	3,242	15,593
1977	7,524,937	6,316,223	21,011	3,435	17,576
1978	7,609,360	6,330,912	21,289	3,493	17,796
1979	7,249,883	6,097,654	21,755	3,444	18,311
Total	67,772,985	46,020,959	174,512	31,648	142,864
1980	8,965,099	7,290,217	24,457	3,585	20,872
1981	7,819,865	6,542,079	22,435	3,217	19,218
1982	8,658,964	7,244,900	24,488	3,645	20,843
1983	9,177,847	7,647,104	26,616	3,965	22,651
1984	9,190,877	7,646,515	28,342	4,343	23,999
1985	9,502,835	7,853,969	29,293	4,409	24,884
1986	9,944,411	8,213,475	29,518	4,558	25,960
1987	10,041,075	8,256,826	28,913	4,505	24,408
1988	9,428,894	7,746,590	26,803	3,751	22,252
1989	8,495,179	6,950,843	23,352	3,514	19,838

Total Please calculate the above totals

	Total Arrests	Males Arrested All ages All offenses	Males Arrested For Rape	Males Arrested For Rape Under age 18	Males Arrested For Rape 18 and Older
1990	10,034,629	8,190,097	27,509	4,170	23,339
1991	9,885,040	8,032,956	27,646	4,395	23,251
1992	10,006,561	8,102,944	27,567	4,407	23,160
1993	11,046,849	8,900,790	30,363	4,941	25,422
1994	11,341,585	9,090,567	28,299	4,555	23,744
1995	10,925,520	8,693,921	25,019	3,956	21,137
1996	10,370,655	8,239,213	22,493	3,743	18,750
1997	9,747,145	7,634,815	20,135	3,452	16,683

Total

Please calculate the above totals. Note the growth rate of rape assailants under the age of 18

Below are the estimated number of people arrested for all offenses each year and the total number of rape arrest each year. Information was taken from the Sourcebook of Criminal Statistics. The fourth column lists the reported rapes in the United States. Look at the difference in the number of people arrested for rape and the number of rapes reported each year.

**Final National Totals
Arrests For Rape Nationwide**

	Total Arrests	Rape Arrests	Sex Offenses	Reported Rapes*
1975				41,501
1976				42,085
1977				47,024
1978				50,590
1979				57,958
1980				63,599
1981				63,038
1982				59,967
1983				61,019
1984				66,367
1985				71,060
1986				73,453
1987	12,711,600	36,311	100,100	73,456
1988	13,812,300	38,610	106,300	75,441
1989	14,340,900	39,110	104,800	75,438
Total				
1990	14,195,100	39,160	107,600	86,541
1991	14,211,900	40,120	108,000	91,522
1992	14,075,100	39,100	108,400	93,825
1993	14,036,300	38,420	104,100	92,360
1994	14,648,700	36,610	100,700	89,297
1995	15,119,800	34,650	94,500	85,249
1996	15,168,100	33,050	95,800	84,053
1997	15,284,300	32,060	101,900	84,818
1998	14,528,300	31,070	93,600	82,758

*figures do not include attempted rape assaults.

Source: Sourcebook of Criminal Statistics table 4.1 first published in 1973

We are now going to take a look at the data collected from 1967 to 1999 and see if we can focus on specific characteristics of the Career Rapist so we can prevent the rape and murder of women in this country. We are going to use the data collected to take a first, second and third look at a rapist to see if we can form and shape our opinion so we can identify certain characteristics and situations where rapists are often found. The general definition of the rapist is a man who is a loner, misunderstood, and poorly raised yet highly intelligent able to escape observation. He is also unkempt, poor, ugly and unsightly. These definitions make absolutely no sense they are contradictory. Detection is a function of who is doing the looking. Many times a rapist gets away with his trade merely because no one is looking for him. Rapists also get away with their trade because they are charming, polite, well kempt and mannered. Women go about their daily lives not looking for him believing he is some man living across town stalking a loose woman. The police do not look for the rapist until an alarm is sound in the community. We look for

the rapist after the fact. This is why rapists are not readily caught. He is suppose to be the man uniquely intellectual but lurks in the darkness hiding in the bushes. Yes rapists do lurk in the darkness but they also lurk in college dorms, taverns, bars, and hotel. Now here is our rapist – Please see charts

- Charts list the number of individuals arrested for the crime of rape by age
- Charts list the number of males arrested for the crime of rape
- Charts list all the arrest for rapes and sex offenses
- Charts list the number of arrest for the category sex offense

We will be discussing is the data related to the arrest of males for the crime of rape and other sexual offenses. We had to use the Statistical Abstract of the United States, The Uniform Crime Report and The Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics to describe the rapist from 1940 to 1998. Based on arrest records from 1940 to 1998 all persons arrested for the crime of rape 90% to 95.6% were males. The male rapist is black, white, or other. He is between the ages of 10 to 65. He can be older than 65. As you review the charts take a closer and closer look at the number of arrest made per age group. Also take a close look at the number of males arrested for the crime of rape per year. Later you will see charts describing the number of convictions for rape versus the number of arrest for rape. The first chart compares the number of males arrested for rape under the age of 18 and 18 and over. While you are looking at the number of males arrested for rape under the age of 18 try to imagine how many of these males are 17, 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, and 9. Now ask yourself did these males only rape or did these males rape and murder. You will soon learn the answer to this question is yes.

Chart No.3573MF

Ages of Persons Arrested For Rape
under 10 to 19
Between 1960 to 1979

The figures listed below represent the number of people arrested for the offense of rape. These figures do not represent the number of people convicted of rape. The figures represent both male and female perpetrators.

	under 10	10 to 12	13 to 14	15	16	17	18	19
1960								
1961								
1962								
1963								
1964								
1965								
1966								
1967	19	93	363	458	687	895	1,100	962
1968	24	79	386	471	715	884	1,043	946
1969	29	64	448	577	810	974	1,162	1,035
Total	72	236	1197	1506	2212	2753	3305	2943
1970	22	90	522	660	855	1,056	1,169	1,140
1971	26	92	549	651	992	1,114	1,309	1,098
1972	24	107	687	734	1,093	1,197	1,431	1,318
1973	43	130	640	687	1,061	1,211	1,309	1,226
1974	42	115	614	624	891	1,169	1,239	1,139
1975	45	120	702	768	980	1,248	1,456	1,396
1976	57	158	700	685	1,019	1,126	1,343	1,294
1977	53	176	852	748	1,146	1,282	1,550	1,558
1978	75	185	842	820	1,156	1,439	1,598	1,558
1979	66	183	832	900	1,213	1,457	1,801	1,701
Total	453	1356	6940	7277	10406	12299	14205	13428
Page Total	525	1,592	8,117	8,783	12,618	15,052	17,510	16,371

Alleged Male Rapist and Sex Offenders								
	Total	Percent		Males Arrested for	Charges	Males Arrested for		
	Arrest	Male		Rape	% of Rape	Sex Offenses	%	
1967	5,518,420			12,659	100	27,100	46,569	87
1968	5,616,839			12,685	100	31,060	41,897	88.1
1969	5,862,246			14,428	100	36,470	43,551	86.9
1970	6,570,473	85.6		15,411	100	32,270	43,033	87.2
1971	6,966,822	85		16,582	100		44,626	88
1972	7,013,194	84.9		19,374	100	46,430	46,536	91
1973	6,499,864	84.7		19,198	100	51,000	44,873	92.2
1974	6,179,406	83.9		17,804	100	55,210	40,894	92.2
1975	8,013,645	84.3		21,748	99	56,090	46,932	92.3
1976	7,912,348					42,085		
1977	9,029,335					47,024		
1978	9,775,087	84.2		28,013	99.1	50,590	60,493	92.1
1979	9,506,347	84.3		28,945	99.2	57,958	57,764	92.2
1980	9,703,181	82		29,161	99.1	63,599	58,791	92.7
1981	10,293,575					63,038		
1982	10,062,343					59,967		
1983	10,287,309					61,019		
1984	8,921,708	83.3		28,074	99.1	66,367	70,620	93.3
1985	10,289,609	82.6		31,585	98.9	71,060	80,414	92.6
1986	10,392,177	82.6		30,780	98.9	73,453	77,278	92.1
1987	10,795,869	82.3		30,908	98.1	73,456	78,911	92.2
1988	10,149,896	88.2		28,137	99	75,441	72,522	92.7
1989	11,261,295	81.9		30,178	98.8	78,411	77,157	92.4
1990	11,250,083	81.6		30,630	98.9	86,541	78,291	92.3
1991	10,743,755	81.3		29,964	98.7	91,522	76,440	93
1992	11,893,153	81		32,965	96.7	93,825	84,540	92.3
1993	11,765,764	80.5		32,107	98.7	92,360	80,211	91.4
1994	11,877,188	80		29,460	98.9	89,297	74,991	91.6
1995	11,416,346	79.6		26,249	98.8	85,249	66,508	92
1996	11,093,211	79.4		24,066	98.8	83,633	64,938	92
1997	10,544,624	78.4		21,855	98.7		63,814	90.9
1998								
1999								
2000								
Total	287,205,112			642,966				
Average Percent		81.3			98.5			
Uniform Crime Report 1967 to 1979 arrests by offenses charges and sex male and female								
Sourcebook 1980								
Average 10,000 agencies reporting								

		Ages of Persons Arrested for Rape							
		Ages 20 to 39							
Chart No.3574MF		Between 1960 to 1979							
		The figures listed below represent the number of people arrested for the offense of rape. These figures do not represent the number of people convicted of rape. The figures represent both male and female perpetrators.							
	20	21	22	23	24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	
1960									
1961									
1962									
1963									
1964									
1965									
1966									
1967	841	821	698	589	607	1,856	1,070	627	
1968	917	796	690	625	595	1,920	1,030	638	
1969	968	917	893	791	723	2,199	1,156	704	
Total	2726	2534	2281	2005	1925	5975	3256	1969	
1970	1,019	958	905	878	665	2,387	1,280	782	
1971	1,068	1,015	1,024	883	817	2,676	1,369	850	
1972	1,161	1,152	1,159	1,095	977	3,254	1,756	948	
1973	1,193	1,129	1,106	978	920	3,281	1,837	1,069	
1974	1,086	1,058	986	901	841	3,125	1,742	958	
1975	1,422	1,313	1,170	1,069	1,054	4,080	2,157	1,343	
1976	1,294	1,330	1,285	1,027	990	4,049	2,183	1,271	
1977	1,578	1,565	1,418	1,382	1,227	4,633	2,791	1,624	
1978	1,593	1,630	1,558	1,452	1,325	5,308	3,207	1,916	
1979	1,755	1,682	1,671	1,535	1,474	5,276	3,241	1,852	
Total	13,169	12,832	12,282	11,200	10,290	38,069	21,563	12,613	
Page									
Total	15,895	15,366	14,563	13,205	12,215	44,044	24,819	14,582	

Ages of Persons Arrested For Rape
under 10 to 19
Between 1980 to 1999

Chart No.3575MF

The figures listed below represent the number of people arrested for the offense of rape. These figures do not represent the number of people convicted of rape. The figures represent both male and female perpetrators.

	under 10	10 to 12	13 to 14	15	16	17	18	19
1980	37	171	844	777	1,076	1,441	1,638	1,690
1981	56	213	924	857	1,115	1,284	1,499	1,600
1982	57	230	847	773	965	1,287	1,482	1,516
1983	64	274	994	855	1,026	1,175	1,408	2,842
1984	73	324	1,084	833	956	1,127	1,171	1,329
1985	85	351	1,240	969	994	1,191	1,289	1,293
1986	77	297	1,140	982	1,121	1,181	1,220	1,272
1987	107	362	1,191	921	1,108	1,220	1,231	1,211
1988	98	267	1,007	793	900	1,053	1,229	1,140
1989	93	394	1,212	923	983	1,101	1,312	1,259
Total	747	2883	10483	8683	10244	12060	13479	15152
1990	89	346	1,170	862	1,015	1,146	1,433	1,379
1991	81	441	1,220	869	988	1,167	1,367	1,320
1992	123	515	1,411	952	1,132	1,236	1,458	1,334
1993	99	519	1,460	941	1,138	1,146	1,437	1,264
1994	103	442	1,318	892	993	1,111	1,217	1,158
1995	72	372	1,098	752	887	1,009	1,180	1,095
1996	61	346	1,016	751	888	1,066	1,128	1,060
1997	75	363	990	674	784	906	1,040	957
1998								
1999								
Total	703	3344	9683	6693	7825	8787	10260	9567
Page Total	1450	6227	20166	15376	18069	20847	23739	24719
Previous Page	525	1,592	8,117	8,783	12,618	15,052	17,510	16,371
Grand Total	1975	7819	28283	24159	30687	35899	41249	41090

		Ages of Persons Arrested for Rape							
		Ages 20 to 39							
Chart No.3576MF		Between 1980 to 1999							
The figures listed below represent the number of people arrested for the offense of rape. These figures do not represent the number of people convicted of rape. The figures represent both male and female perpetrators.									
	20	21	22	23	24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	
1980	1,713	1,755	1,601	1,592	1,540	5,452	3,436	2,012	
1981	1,706	1,660	1,616	1,501	1,562	5,739	3,640	2,150	
1982	1,582	1,566	1,597	1,400	1,438	5,439	3,450	2,035	
1983	1,550	1,587	1,623	1,542	1,453	5,772	3,785	2,440	
1984	1,317	1,289	1,267	1,271	1,223	5,373	3,671	2,592	
1985	1,412	1,469	1,406	1,396	1,430	6,256	4,325	2,851	
1986	1,344	1,431	1,380	1,369	1,334	6,202	4,305	2,812	
1987	1,311	1,318	1,337	1,355	1,377	6,248	4,442	2,860	
1988	1,120	1,157	1,256	1,214	1,214	5,640	4,255	2,660	
1989	1,210	1,202	1,229	1,168	1,231	5,757	4,543	2,981	
Total	14,265	14,434	14,312	13,808	13,802	57,878	39,852	25,393	
1990	1,298	1,316	1,251	1,204	1,183	5,771	4,728	2,887	
1991	1,292	1,290	1,140	1,136	1,157	5,501	4,550	2,991	
1992	1,358	1,417	1,354	1,150	1,176	5,581	5,084	3,467	
1993	1,201	1,291	1,189	1,152	1,139	5,346	4,915	3,539	
1994	1,156	1,065	990	1,107	1,001	4,674	4,617	3,382	
1995	1,012	946	886	892	840	4,084	4,021	3,183	
1996	977	982	794	734	788	3,652	3,521	2,827	
1997	919	845	748	705	636	3,143	3,066	2,659	
1998									
1999									
Total	9,213	9,152	8,352	8,080	7,920	37,752	34,502	24,935	
Page									
Total	23,478	23,586	22,664	21,888	21,722	95,630	74,354	50,328	
Previous									
Page	15,895	15,366	14,563	13,205	12,215	44,044	24,819	14,582	
Grand									
Total	39,373	38,952	37,227	35,093	33,937	139,674	99,173	64,910	

		Ages of Persons Arrested For Rape						
		Ages 40 to 65 older						
Chart No.3577MF		Between 1960 to 1979						
The figures listed below represent the number of people arrested for the offense of rape. These figures do not represent the number of people convicted of rape. The figures represent both male and female perpetrators.								
	40 to 44	45 to 49	50 to 54	55 to 59	60 to 64	65 older	Unknown	Total
1960								
1961								
1962								
1963								
1964								
1965								
1966								
1967	449	236	129	83	38	37		1
1968	443	689	275	155	4	53		3
1969	435	264	122	77	35	42		3
Total	1327	1189	526	315	77	132		7
1970	454	271	149	71	37	40		
1971	492	256	148	74	44	36		4
1972	572	318	204	90	46	43		8
1973	602	344	187	99	60	70		16
1974	541	361	196	82	41	40		
1975	697	445	250	123	63	55		
1976	729	100	105	73	40	46		2
1977	902	579	352	180	91	101		12
1978	1,055	676	398	224	104	126		12
1979	1,039	596	405	214	125	130		16
Total	6629	3675	2245	1159	614	647		70
Page								
Total	7,956	4,864	2,771	1,474	691	779		77

		Ages 40 to 65						
Chart No.3578MF		Between 1980 to 1999						
		The figures listed below represent the number of people arrested for the offense of rape. These figures do not represent the number of people convicted of rape. The figures represent both male and female perpetrators.						
	40 to 44	45 to 49	50 to 54	55 to 59	60 to 64	65 older	Unknown	Total
1980	1,172	640	391	238	108	107		
1981	1,217	746	426	262	134	143		
1982	1,168	637	403	223	126	1		
1983	1,348	713	481	325	188	146		
1984	1,441	770	520	312	188	205		
1985	1,671	936	558	365	222	225		
1986	1,502	911	482	352	211	203		
1987	1,592	875	469	336	211	194		
1988	1,562	856	449	308	178	188		
1989	1,708	922	528	338	218	232		
Total	14,381	8,006	4,707	3,059	1,784	1,644		
1990	1,680	920	547	310	204	227		
1991	1,756	856	536	295	193	204		
1992	2,054	1,075	609	359	226	314		
1993	2,075	1,153	611	351	294	263		
1994	2,023	1,120	621	334	216	251		
1995	1,837	1,059	578	21	202	235		
1996	1,705	940	469	266	193	183		
1997	1,683	847	540	258	133	162		
1998								
1999								
Total	14,813	7,970	4,511	2,194	1,661	1,839		
Page								
Total	29,194	15,976	9,218	5,253	3,445	3,483		
Previous								
Page	7,956	4,864	2,771	1,474	691	779	77	
Grand								
Total	37,150	20,840	11,989	6,727	4,136	4,262	77	

The statistics of Mr. Santiago Morales of New York number will soon appear on a chart like this. Santiago Morales age 60 was released from prison in 2003 after serving 18 years in prison for attacking a 17 year old girl in 1986. August 4, 2004 he attacked a young woman with a knife in Riverside Park. The young woman managed to escape and called the police. Mr. Morales was apprehended and charged with attempted rape, weapons possession and public lewdness. He was caught with his pants down. The state was forced to release Mr. Morales in January 2003 after he had served two-thirds of his maximum sentence. The question now is how much time will he receive in prison for his latest crime and will he have to serve the rest of his sentence for the 1986 offense.

Now who are the Rape Victims?

The first eight charts we will look at are rape victims who were left alive. Crime Rate for Forcible Rape

1. Thirty two percent of these persons report the violent incident to the police in 1994 and 1995.
2. 44% of these victims are under 18. 56% of these victims were over 18.
3. 91% of the victims are female
4. Rape and sexual assault is highest among 16 – 19 year olds and among low income residence. This characteristic will change as women began reporting incidents or rape and sexual assault. The only reason why rape and sexual assault is highest among low income women is because they report the situation to the police more often than urban females. Urban females conceal rape and sexual assault and incidences of domestic violence. Another factor is that low income females only have the police to help them they have no other mechanisms available to them.
5. Most assaults take place in their home, in their neighbors home, in a friend's home,

Many of these women were murdered during or after the assault.

In 1991 there were 129 rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was:

Three women were raped and murdered by their father
Thirty two women were raped and murdered by an acquaintance
Five women were raped and murdered by a friend
Two women were raped and murdered by a boyfriend
Nine women were raped and murdered by a neighbor
Thirty seven women were raped and murdered by a stranger
Forty three women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 1992 there were 136 rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was:

Two women were raped and murdered by a family member
Twenty eight women were raped and murdered by acquaintances
Seven women were raped and murdered by friends
One woman was raped and murdered by a boyfriend
Six women was raped and murdered by a neighbor
Thirty two women were raped and murdered by a stranger
Sixty women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 1993 there were 115 rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was:

Two women were raped and murdered by their husband
Two women were raped and murdered by their father
Two women were raped and murdered by a family member
Thirty four women were raped and murdered by an acquaintance
Six women were raped and murdered by a friend
Seven women were raped and murdered by a neighbor
Thirty two women were raped and murdered by a stranger
Thirty women were raped and murdered by a unknown assailant

In 1994 there were 78 rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was:

One woman was raped and murdered by her husband
Two women were raped and murdered by a family member
Twenty three women were raped and murdered by an acquaintance
One woman was raped and murdered by a friend
Two woman was raped and murdered by a boyfriend
Six women were raped and murdered by a neighbor
Twenty women were raped and murdered by a stranger

Twenty three women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 1995 there were 78 rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was:

One woman was raped and murdered by her husband
One woman were raped and murdered by their father
One woman was raped and murdered by her brother
Two woman were raped and murdered by a family member
Twenty nine women were raped and murdered by an acquaintance
Two women were raped and murdered by a friend
One woman was raped and murdered by her boyfriend
Two women were raped and murdered by a neighbor
Seventeen women were raped and murdered by a stranger

Twenty two women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 1996 there were 68 rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was:

One woman was raped and murdered by her father
Two woman were raped and murdered by a family member
Twenty four women were raped and murdered by an acquaintance
Two woman were raped and murdered by a boyfriend
Two woman were raped and murdered by a friend
Two woman were raped and murdered neighbor
Eleven women were raped and murdered by strangers

Twenty four women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 1997 there were 66 rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was:

One woman was raped and murdered by her father
Twenty one women were raped and murdered by an acquaintances
One woman was raped and murdered by a friend
Six women were raped and murdered by a neighbor
Twenty two women were raped and murdered by a stranger

Fifteen women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 1998 there were 61 rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was:

One woman was raped and murdered by her husband
Twenty one women were raped and murdered by an acquaintance
One woman was raped and murdered by a friend
Six women were raped and murdered by a neighbor
Nine women were raped and murdered by a stranger

Twenty-three were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 1999 there were 46 rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was:

One woman was raped and murdered by their father
Two women were raped and murdered by a family member
Twelve women were raped and murdered by an acquaintance
One woman was raped and murdered by a friend
Three women was raped and murdered by her boyfriend
One woman was raped and murdered by a neighbor
Eleven women were raped and murdered by a stranger

Fifteen women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 2000 there were 58 rape and murder victims know to the police. Their relationship with the offender was:

One woman was raped and murdered by a family member
Twelve women were raped and murdered by an acquaintance
One woman was raped and murdered by a friend
Fourteen women were raped and murdered by a stranger

Twenty-four women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 2001 there were fifty-nine rape and murder victim known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was:

Three women were raped and murdered by family members
Thirteen women were raped and murdered by acquaintances
Four women were raped and murdered by friends
Two women were raped and murdered by neighbors
Fourteen women were raped and murdered by a stranger
Twenty-three women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 2002 there were forty-three rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was:

One woman was raped and murdered by her brother
Three women were raped and murdered by a family member
Eleven women were raped and murdered by an acquaintance
Two women were raped and murdered by a friend
One woman was raped and murdered by a neighbor
One woman was raped and murdered by a stranger

In 2003 there were forty three rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was

One woman was raped and murdered by her husband
One woman was raped and murdered by her father
One woman was raped and murdered by her brother
Three women were raped and murdered by a family member
Twelve women were raped and murdered by an acquaintance
Two women were raped and murdered by a boyfriend
Ten women were raped and murdered by a stranger

Thirteen women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 2004 there were thirty-six rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was”

Two women were raped and murdered by a family member.
Eight women were raped and murdered by an acquaintance
One woman was raped and murdered by a neighbor
One woman was raped and murdered by an employer
Five women were raped and murdered by a stranger

Nineteen women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant.

In 2005 there were forty-four rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was

Three women were raped and murdered by a family member.
Nine women were raped and murdered by an acquaintances
One woman was raped and murdered by a friend
One woman was raped and murdered by a boyfriend
Two women were raped and murdered by a neighbor
Eleven women were raped and murdered by a stranger

Seventeen women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 2006 there were 32 rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was

One woman was raped and murdered by her husband.
One woman was raped and murdered by her boyfriend
Sixteen women was raped and murdered by an acquaintance
One woman was raped and murdered by an employer.
One woman was raped and murdered by a neighbor.
Six women were raped by a stranger and
Six women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant.

In 2007 there were 31 rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was

One woman was raped and murdered by her father
One woman was raped and murdered by her brother
One woman was raped and murdered by a family member.
Eight women were raped and murdered by an acquaintances
One woman was raped and murdered by a neighbor
Ten women were raped and murdered by a stranger

Nine women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 2008 there were 23 rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was

Seven women were raped and murdered by an acquaintances
One woman was raped and murdered by a friend
One woman was raped and murdered by a boyfriend
Two women were raped and murdered by a neighbor
One woman was raped and murdered by an employer.
Seven women were raped and murdered by a stranger

Four women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

In 2009 there were 24 rape and murder victims known to the police. Their relationship with the offender was

- One woman was raped and murdered by her father
- One woman was raped and murdered by a family member.
- Three women were raped and murdered by an acquaintances
- Two women were raped and murdered by a friend
- One women was raped and murdered by a boyfriend
- Seven women were raped and murdered by a stranger

Nine women were raped and murdered by an unknown assailant

		Rape and Murder 1991 to 2006										
	B/F	Fa	Hus	Relative	Acquain	Friend	Neighbor	Str	Unk	Bro	Employer	Total
1990												
1991		3			32	5	9	37	43			129
1992	1			2	28	7	6	32	60			136
1993		2	2	2	34	6	7	32	30			115
1994	2		1	1	23	1	6	20	24			78
1995	1	1	1	2	29	2	2	17	22	1		78
1996	2	1		2	24	2	2	11	24			68
1997		1			21	1	6	22	15			66
1998			1		21	1	6	9	23			61
1999	3	1		2	12	1	1	11	15			46
Total	9	9	5	11	224	26	45	191	256	1		777
										777		
	B/F	Fa	Hus	Relative	Acquain	Friend	Neighbor	Str	Unk	Bro	Employer	Total
2000	3	2		1	12	1		14	24	1		58
2001				3	13	4	2	14	23			59
2002		1		3	11	2	1	7	17	1		43
2003	2	1	1	3	12			10	13	1		43
2004				2	8		1	5	19		1	36
2005	1			3	9	1	2	11	17			44
2006	1		1		16		1	6	6		1	32
2007		1		1	8		1	10	9	1		31
2008	1				7	1	2	7	4		1	23
2009	1	1		1	3	2		7	9			24
Total	9	6	2	17	99	11	9	91	141	4	3	393
											393	
G T	18	15	11	34	529	60	97	449	631	5	3	1170

Male relatives: uncle, cousin, grandfather, nephew and son
 acquaintances: can be coworker, choir member, drug store clerk, delivery man, newspaper boy.
 Source: Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics 1991 to 2006 murder know to the police
 Table 3.130 offender relationship

A female is not safe within her family and she is not safe with a friend, acquaintance or her husband
With whom is she
safe?

In twenty years

Fifteen daughters were raped and murdered by their **fathers**

Five women was raped and murdered by her brother

Thirty Four women were raped and murdered by a family member

Eleven women were raped and murdered by their husband

Sixty women was raped and murdered by a friend

Five Hundred and twenty nine women were raped and murdered by an acquaintance

Eighteen women were raped and murdered by a boyfriend

Ninety Seven women were raped and murdered by a neighbor

Three women was raped and murdered by an employer

Four Hundred and forty nine women were raped and murdered by a stranger

Six Hundred and thirty one women were raped and murdered by a unknown assailant

The victim - offender data is based on the number of agencies reporting victim and offender information. Also during this period in 1995 there were 260,000 rapes or attempted rapes - 95,000 sexual assaults or threats of assault - half of the rapes reported was cleared by arrest - 36,650 arrests - 94,500 sex offense arrest

In 1994 it was announced that from 1976 to 1994 the highest rape reported was in 1992, 1 rape per 1200 women. Remember page 78 from the **Bureau of Justice Report on Sex Offenders** number 5. two thirds of the persons convicted are sentenced to prison; the other third are given probation, or excused?

14. Males under 18 account for 10% of the sexual assault murders since 1976.

There has been 1,711,681 (1977 through 1999) sexual assaults reported since 1976. This suggest men under the age of 18 committed (x number) of these assaults. See chart to compare.

15. Most rapist and sex offenders know their victim. These men are fathers, brothers, cousins, grand fathers, school friends, acquaintances, stepfathers, or neighbors or persons in a position of trust or authority. Remember Mr. Crawford or Mr. Wallace (friend or acquaintance) see victim and offender chart.

These women are represented in the above charts.

Amanda Lee Miller, 3

Dominick Logan Cornelius, 2

Angela Wong, 11

Angelica Padilla, 11

Ariel Ulbarri, 23 (mother)

Christa E. Bentley, 35 (mother)

Dawn Rachelle Bruce, 22 - her assailant pleaded guilty rather than face the death penalty.

Irene Garza, 25

Kristin Taylor, 27 (mother of 5)

Leah Schendel, 80

Mayra Mora Lopez, 19

Renetta White, 31

Susan Jabczynski lived in Illinois - assailant neighbor suspect

Mrs. Sue Marie Schaaf, 25, Hickory Hill, Illinois December 10, 1982 45 times - assailant neighbor

Mrs. Donna Meier Illinois assailant suspect her husband

Michelle Anne McGrath, 27, 2:30a Thomas Gudinas, STD Orlando, Florida - assailant stranger

Susan Spahn, 20 Coed November Delaware, Dave Dutton, first-degree life – assailant stranger

Mrs. Anita Lukander USN Jacksonville, Florida assailant - unknown
Shannon Denise Capers, 13, Haynesville, Louisiana, March 8, 1997 assailant – boyfriend
Patricia Torrez, 29 and her children William Santiago, 6, and Ashley Dominguez, 5, were stabbed to death by her boyfriend.
Patricia Torres was murdered in New York. Her young child found her body.
Patricia Mohammed, 55, was killed by her exboyfriend in 2015.

The above twenty four victims, Angela Wong, 11, Angelica Padilla, 11, Dawn Bruce, 22, Susan Jabczynski, Mrs. Susan Marie Schaaf, Mrs. Donna Meier, 30, Michelle Anne McGrath, 27, Susan Spahn, 20, Mrs. Anita Lukander, and Shannon Denise Caper, 15. Patricia Torrez, 29 (mother of 4). William Santiago, 8, Ashley Dominguez, 5, Patricia Torres and Patricia Mohammed will always be remembered by friends and family. . The women were murdered by a family friend, a neighbor, a stranger, unwanted suitor and a boyfriend. Angela Wong, 11, lived in Nassau, New York. She was murdered July 17, 1984. Cause of death was homicidal drowning. Her assailant was a family friend named, Manney Pachenko. After a tenacious investigation Mr. Pachenko was extradited from California tried for murder and was convicted in 2000.

June 1999 the mother of Amanda Miller and Dominick Cornelius was charged with two counts of first-degree murder in their deaths. The bodies of the children were found near a creek. Amanda had been suffocated, and her brother died of a skull fracture Tina Marie Cornelius was charged with capital murder because the children were under 5 years old

Angela Marie Wong was a happy 11 year old living in Massapequa Park Nassau County New York. She was drowned July 1984 in a stream during a sexual assault. A 32-pound log lodged behind her neck to keep her head under water Her murder remained unsolved for more than 17 years. March 21, 2002 Manuel L. Pacheco, Jr. 33, an acquaintance and a family friend, was arrested in Nassau County California for the murder of Angela Wong. March 25, 2004 Mr. Pacheco was convicted. It took Nineteen years, seven months and 10 days before the Wong family found justice. Mr. Pacheco, 35, was 15 when he killed Angela and was tried as a juvenile. The maximum sentence he faced was nine years to life. Nassau County Court Judge Donald Belfi sentenced Manuel Pacheco to serve nine years to life in prison. He will have to go before a parole board to get out of prison.

Angelica Padilla, 11 lived in Willimantic, Connecticut. She had begun her first job of delivering newspapers. She was 11 years old and delivering newspapers was the first step in her quest for independence. She lost her life August 13, 1998 while working. Mr. Jose Torres a parolee and her neighbor was charged with her murder. When her body was found in the woods she died of blunt force trauma to the head and her throat was cut. Mr. Torres was living with his girlfriend. He was a convicted sex offender. He knew Angelica Padilla because his mother used to babysit her after school. He was convicted

Ariel Ulbarri was stabbed to death in a park in Clovis, New Mexico November 9, 2014. Her son was found wandering in the park. When Matthew Jennings was charged with first degree murder and tampering with evidence he said someone paid him to kill Ms. Ulbarri..

Christa Bentley was stabbed to death February 26, 1994 in her home during a robbery. Michael Patrick Moore, 38, was arrested for reckless driving shortly after the attack. He confessed to the stabbing. He entered the house armed. He was indicted March 31, 1994 for capital murder during the course of committing robbery. She was found guilty October 31, 1994 and sentenced to death November 3, 1994. He was executed eight years later in the state of Texas January 9, 2002. He knew the family was at home.

Dawn Bruce lived in Richmond, Virginia. She was a recent college graduate of the Virginia Commonwealth University with a desire to become a fireman. Since she had a degree her ultimate goal must have been to be the first female fire chief. Dawn Bruce was working two jobs. She worked as a phone operator and a waitress. She had a boyfriend and was progressing in her life. She was murdered in her apartment during the earlier morning hours of December 17, 1990 after returning from work. Dawn Bruce was sleeping when her assailant stabbed her in the heart then raped and sodomized her. After a thorough investigation Mr. Robert Douglas Knight was arrested and charged with murder. The evidence against him was overwhelming. The murder weapon was found in an apartment he recently rented. He did not pass the

polygraph test. There was DNA evidence left at the scene. Mr. Knight thought his girlfriend would give him an alibi for the night of the murder. She refused. She told authorities she was not with him during the time of the murder. To escape the death penalty Mr. Knight was allowed to plead guilty to murder. We believe he is eligible for parole. He was a stupid man.

It took 50 years but John Feit, 83, was finally arrested for the 1960 murder of Irene Garza a second grade teacher in Texas. Her body was found floating in a canal. Mr. Feit then age 27, who was a Roman Catholic priest at the time. He was the last person to see her alive while attending Sacred Heart Church in McAllen. He quit the cloth a few years later. He was extradited from Arizona to Texas

Kristin Taylor of Salina, Kansas was reported missing April 25, 2013. Her body was found May 9, 2013. Joe Heil, 24, was arrested in Abilene a day earlier. Dane Deweese, 33, was also arrested. Both men were suspects early on. June 2014 Mr. Deweese was found guilty of first degree murder and conspiracy to commit murder in two hours. A plea bargain was reached where if Mr. Joe Heil would testify against Mr. DeWeese he would receive a sentence of life without parole for 25 years. Megan Wells was given probation for obstruction of justice. The use of methamphetamine was the cause of the murder.

Leah Schendel was beaten in her home in South Carolina in 1980. During the beating she suffered a heart attack and died. Manuel Babbitt, a Vietnam veteran who had received a purple heart was convicted of her murder. He was executed May 4, 1999. He had broken into her home and was experiencing flashbacks to the siege of Khe Sanh of 1968. When he was arrested he did not remember anything that had happened.

Mayra Mora Lopez of Long Beach, California was stabbed to death in her apartment October 27, 2002. By January 28, 2004 her boyfriend was on his way to prison. Pedro Tepoz Leon, 35, was sentenced to 26 years to life for the murder of Mayra Mora Lopez. The jury found him guilty of first-degree murder in less than an hour. Mayra Lopez was one of the students he coached soccer at the local high school. Mr. Leon committed suicide in his cell shortly after learning his sentence. He lied on his school application he had been sentenced for beating a previous girlfriend.

Mrs. Susan Marie Schaaf, 25, lived in Hickory Hill, Illinois. December 10, 1982 she was bound rape her throat slashed and stabbed 45 times in her face home. Years later DNA testing proved her neighbor, John Meier, committed the murder. He died in 2002 before he could be prosecuted. John Meier was also a suspect in the rape and murder of Susan Jabczynski in her home in 1980. She was stabbed 21 times. **Susan Jabczynski** worked as a hairdresser. She and Mrs. Schaaf had the same occupation. Do you see the deadly motives behind a smiling face? He worked as a roofer, an ordinary joe. He was also a suspect in his wife's death. She was stabbed to death in the bath tub. His wife, Donna Meiers died July 1987 in her home. She was stabbed to death while bathing. Mr. and Mrs. Meier were friends of the Schaafs who were newlyweds.

Michelle Anne McGrath suffered blunt force trauma to the head when her body was found in an alley May 24, 1994 Naples, Florida. Her body was posed. She was kicked to death. Police believe she was attacked on the way to her car after attending a club in the area. Another was similarly attacked but she got away. May 1995 Thomas Gudinas, 21, was found guilty of first-degree murder. He cried in court. He was sentenced to death. Her father, Douglas McGrath, said, "It seems like a tragic waste of his life and my daughter's life. "We are deprived of my daughter . . . forever." Mr. Gudinas was drinking and smoking marijuana.

November 6, 1976 Susan Spahn of Delaware went out for a night on the town with her girlfriend. When it came time to leave her girlfriend could not find Susan. The next day her friend reported Michelle Susan Spahn as missing. David A. Dutton was identified by a television viewer from a police artist sketch made from the girlfriend's memory. He was the man that Ms. McGrath met at the bar. He asked her to dance and joined she and her friend at their table. Susan Spahn was last seen walking off the dance floor with him. Ms. Spahn's remains were found January 1, 1978. It is believed that she died of blunt force trauma to the head. Mr. Dutton's conviction during his second trial was appealed before the Delaware Supreme Court.

Mrs. Anita Lukander, 22, lived in Florida. She was married to a naval petty officer. When she went missing March 17, 1988 there was no question that her husband was not involved in her disappearance because he was on duty aboard a frigate. Her mutilated body was found ten days later along the Intracoastal Waterway in Jacksonville Beach. She was married May 9, 1987. Anita Lukander was a naval

airman a member of the Mayport-based Helicopter Antisubmarine Squadron Light 42. Her case remains unsolved.

An anonymous phone call made by a female (twice) May 4, 1999 stirred the police to locate the remains of Shannon Denise Capers in a shallow grave in a wooded area near an apartment complex in Louisiana. The apartment complex is where her boyfriend lived. Denise Capers had not run away she had been murdered. 13-year-old Shannon Denise Capers disappeared from her home in Haynesville on March 8, 1997. When Maurice Tate was tried in 2003 the world learned not only was he a drug dealer, a girlfriend beater he was a molester of children. His girlfriend described to police how he told her he murdered Denise Caper and why. Ms. Caper was pregnant. Denise Caper died of blunt force trauma to the head and a gunshot. She was on the ground when she was shot. Mr. Tate was found guilty sentenced to life in prison without parole.

September 2, 2,000 Patricia Torrez, 28, and two of her children, 4 and 8, William and Ashley were stabbed to death in Westchester county New York. Her boyfriend, boyfriend, Dennis Alvarez, 23, was charged with three counts of second-degree murder in the killings. The prosecutor asked for the death penalty. He stabbed three people to death because he was angry. He had a history as a batterer. The children were in their beds. A third child was stabbed and taken to the hospital but survived. Ms. Torrez had no idea that she only had two years to live after meeting Mr. Alvarez. He was convicted. .

Patricia Torres was murdered in her Brooklyn, New York home May 23, 2006. Her six year old son ran outside for help. The police had been to the apartment twice that day but each time they were told everything was fine. The police are looking for the boyfriend. .

Patricia Mohammed, 55, was shot to death in her car May 25, 2015 outside the Resorts World Casino in Queens New York. Her ex-boyfriend got his revenge for her dropping him. He sent text messages to her family. Police tracked him to the Bronx where there was a shoot out and the ex-boyfriend, Dalton Branch was killed. Apparently he was stalking her until he found his opportunity where he could kill her. When Patricia Mohammed was shot she was talking to a man standing outside of her car. The man escaped the gun fire.

Renetta White was stabbed to death in 1996 while walking away from an argument with a woman who was staying at the same Salvation Army shelter in New Mexico. The argument was over the use of drugs in the facility. Witnesses said that Tracy Lynn Braddy, 31, was the aggressor. She was the one with the weapon not Renetta White. Yet after a plea bargain Ms. Braddy was only sentenced to 5.5 years in prison for voluntary manslaughter

We introduced this information because what is missing from the above charts are the names and lives of the victims. Now you can look at the chart anew and see that figure for 1982 includes the death of Mrs. Scarff and the figure for 1998 includes the death of Angela Padilla. She was a child working for herself, excited about growing older and assuming more responsibilities. She had a job, she had customers. She was a member of the 49%. She was punctual and conscientious in her work and now in 2004 all society is left with, is the custodianships of her killer. This is not a fair trade. By now the question in your mind should be where are these murderers coming from? How are they developed? In Volumes Seven and Eight we will deal with this question. All of the above murders were particularly brutal.

Take a look at the number of report rapes and murders in 1997. What percentage was attributed to the population of men (New and old sex offenders). We need a new category of statistical collection crimes committed by old and new sex offenders. Can tell us how many sex offenders we are producing per year. In and of itself sex offender was a good report but it did not say enough. It was limited in scope. We do not have information regarding juveniles committing sex crimes associated with crimes committed as adults.

Now please look at charts 9235 and 9236. These charts discuss the relationship between the victim and the offender. Previously we mentioned the rapist under 19 was of great concern; although we do not have data to correlate the age of the offender with the age of the victim. We know the victim can be of any age. Please see Chapter 6b under heading assaulted and murdered by teenager. You must remember the most important person in the world to you is the man you let into your house to fix your television, clean your carpet install your cable, service your septic tank, or exterminate your home. We must face the fact

that the reality we have painted for ourselves is wrong. We must see that regardless of the flowers and tree in our environment evilness is living among us. Evilness is a regular state of being for some men. Evilness is everywhere and can appear at any time. Our reality must include the knowledge that evilness has many faces that are pleasing to see. We must see that evilness are the thoughts of men when unrestrained manifest into murder.

Murder Victim and Offender Relationship

Chart No. 9235

Victim	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	Total 1980-84
Wife	1,009	1,027	963	935	1,027	868	4,820
Mother	124	131	120	117	149	134	651
Daughter	165	197	200	190	224	217	1,028
Sister	41	44	40	39	37	50	210
Girlfriend	371	393	361	370	411	400	1,935
Other	3,104	3,172	2,854	3,072	2,700	2,545	14,343
Total	4,814	4,964	4,538	4,723	4,548	4,214	22,987
Victim		1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1985-89
Wife		930	924	929	950	777	4510
Mother		140	116	107	128	133	624
Daughter		246	212	232	219	265	1174
Sister		35	39	54	37	38	203
Girlfriend		439	501	411	457	493	2301
Other		2,721	2,982	2,943	2,820	2,777	14,243
Total		4,511	4,774	4,676	4,611	4,483	23,055
Grand Total							46,042

Relationship is that of victim to offender.

Figures represent total murder victim for whom supplemental homicide data were received.

* values for 1975, 1976, 1977, 1978 were not used because relationships were combined in Sourcebook and represented by percentages.

Chart No.9236	Relationship					Total
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	
	Known Female and Male Relationship					
Wife	862	847	913	928	823	4,373
Mother	120	144	121	133	119	637
Daughter	220	236	235	248	212	1,151
Sister	40	28	42	38	39	187
Girlfriend	441	483	519	603	525	2,571
Known		46	43	49	32	170
Other	2,716	2,909	3,063	3,279	2,989	14,956
Total	4,399	4,693	4,936	5,278	4,739	24,045
	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	
Wife	732	679	583	649	542	3,185
Mother	114	107	83	99	99	502
Daughter	226	207	211	210	224	1,078
Sister	24	19	20	25	26	114
Girlfriend	482	424	426	429	432	2,193
Known	35	30	28	28	16	137
Other	3,041	2,165	2,236	1,979	1,561	10,982
Total	4,654	3,631	3,587	3,419	3900	19,191
Grand Total						43,236

	Total Victims	Females Murdered on Their Jobs Total Women	Percent
1992	1,004		
1993	1,063	180	16.9
1994	1,071	184	17.2
1995	1,024	244	23.8
1996	912	170	18.6
1997	856	145	16.9

Chart No. 9237

murder Victim and
Offender Relationsh

	1980-84	1985-89	Decade Total	1990-94	1995-99	Decade Total	1980 to 1999
Victim							
Wife	4,820	4,510	9,330	4,373	3,185	7,558	16,888
Mother	651	624	1,275	637	502	1,139	2,414
Daughter	1,028	1,174	2,202	1,151	1,078	2,229	4,431
Sister	210	203	413	187	114	301	714
Girlfriend	1,935	2,301	4,236	2,571	2,193	4,764	9,000
Known				170	137	307	307
Other	14,343	14,243	28,586	14,956	10,982	25,938	54,524
Total	22,987	23,055	46,042	24,045	19,191	43,236	89,278

Grand Total 89,278

In 1992 1,004 people were murdered on their jobs. Twenty-four were females murdered by their husband or ex-husband and 7 were murdered by their boyfriend

In 1993 1,063 people were murdered on their jobs. Fifteen were females murdered by their husband or ex-husband and 11 were murdered by their boyfriend

In 1994 1,071 people were murdered on their jobs. Twenty-four were females murdered by their husband or ex-husband and 11 were murdered by their boyfriend

In 1995 1,024 people were murdered on their jobs. Fourteen were females murdered by their husband or ex-husband and 11 were murdered by their boyfriend

In 1996 912 people were murdered on their jobs. Twenty were females murdered by their husband or ex-husband and 11 were murdered by their boyfriend

In 1997 856 people were murdered on their jobs. Sixteen were females murdered by their husband or ex-husband and 11 were murdered by their boyfriend

In 1998 000 people were murdered on their jobs. Eleven were females murdered by their husband or ex-husband and 9 were murdered by their boyfriend or ex-boyfriend.

In 1999 000 people were murdered on their jobs. Twenty-one were females murdered by their husbands or ex-husbands and 10 were murdered by their boyfriend or ex-boyfriend.

Relationship

Known Female and Male Relationship

Chart 92000

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Total
Wife	598	600	601	573	579	2,951
Mother	100	94	113	105	121	533
Daughter	173	218	210	193	212	1,006
Sister	23	26	20	27	31	127
Girlfriend	417	434	444	464	445	2,204
Family	1	3	3	3	2	12
Acquaint	12	13	11	12	8	56
Friend	1	4	2	0		7
Neighbor	0	1	1	0	1	3
Employer	0	0	0	0	1	1
Other	2,407	2,381	2,358	2,315	2,142	11,603
Total	3,733	3,775	3,764	3,693	3,543	18,508

2005 2006 2007 2008 2009

Wife	594	567	573	577	2311
Mother	123	115	94	117	449
Daughter	190	179	235	211	815
Sister	21	22	26	15	84
Girlfriend	461	450	471	492	1874
Family	3	0	1	0	4
Acquaint	9	16	8	7	40
Friend	1	0	0	1	2
Neighbor	2	1	1	2	6
Employer	0	0	0	1	1
Employee	0	1			1
Other	2141	1805	1768	1655	7369
Sub Total	3545	3156	3177	3078	12,956

Grand Total To Date 31,464

Family, Friend, Acquaint, Neighbor were raped and murdered
 Data collected from the Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics and The
 Statistical Abstract of The United States.

The charts below represent the number of women raped in various cities throughout the country. Are these figures not maddening? These rapes have destroyed lives. Take a good look at these figures image an entire class of people are being brutalized every day by men who seek to make money off the bodies of women or to rid themselves of their anger and feelings of rejection. These figures tell you that we must be extremely cautious of any male that approaches you and that you must be willing to defend yourself with deadly force. Included in your survival habits should be to get to know the behavioral history of the men living around you. Become a watcher. Watch him when he stands outside smoking a cigarette. Prepare for his attack.

	Crime Rate For Forcible Rape				1980 to 1984		Total
	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984		
Alabama		26.1	26				
Arizona		38.1	38.5				
Arkansas		25.2	27.1				
California		56.2	50.7				
Colorado		45.6	44.5				
Conn.		21.9	21.9		24.1		
Delaware		28.4	30.9		48.9		
Florida		56.1	53.6		40.4		
Georgia		42.4	39.6		40.3		
Hawaii		34.7	34.4				
Idaho		20.6	16.6				
Illinois		24.1	21.1		31.2		
Indiana		29.6	27.8		25		
Iowa		13.4	12.5		12.9		
Kansas		30.8	24.8		27.4		
Kentucky		20.9	20		50.7		
Louisiana		41.4	39.9				
Maine		12.8	13.4		13.4		
Maryland		38.8	37.4		37.7		
Mass		27.4	25.3		28.1		
Michigan		47.5	46.6		64.8		
Minnesota		25.8	22.7		25.3		
Mississippi		26	26.5				
Missouri		29.7	25.9		26.5		
Montana		22.1	14.9				
Nebraska		20.5	20.7		23.7		
Nevada		64.9	61.5				
New Hamp		16.5	16.2		20.7		
New Jersey		32.1	28.9		32.5		
New Mexico		47.3	48.3				
New York		31.1	29.2		31.6		
North Carolina		22.7	22		15.6		
North Dakota		8.7	9.9		13.1		
Ohio		31	29.9		34.7		
Oklahoma		35.2	37.1				
Oregon		41.7	39.9				
Penn.		22.4	20.6		23.2		
Rhode Is.		17.9	19.4		21.3		
South Carolina		36.3	38.8		21.6		
South Dakota		11.5	11.4		26.9		
Tennessee		37.6	35.5				
Texas		46.2	44.6				
Utah		28.4	23.7				
Vermont		33	34.9		19.8		
Virginia		27.2	24.9		63.7		
W. Virginia		14.8	15.1		26.7		
Washington		50.2	45.9				
Wisconsin		15.7	14.3		16.6		
Wyoming		35.4	27.1				

	Crime Rate For Forcible Rape				1985 to 1989	
	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	Average
Alabama	26.8	28.4		30	31	
Alaska	77.2	72.7		58	53	
Arizona	45.7	43		39	36	
Arkansas	29.1	28.9		32	38	
California	43.3	44.9		42	41	
Colorado	40.9	42.3		39	36	
Conn.	24	23.8		26	28	
Delaware	47.6	56.9		74	85	
Florida	52.8	52.7		50	50	
Georgia	43.3	43.9		46	49	
Hawaii	29.4	31		32	45	
Idaho	19.1	20		18	23	
Illinois	27.7	32.4		39	36	
Indiana	24	25.9		31	32	
Iowa	12.6	12.5		16	16	
Kansas	29.3	32.9		31	36	
Kentucky	21.6	23.1		22	25	
Louisiana	39.8	40.1		39	38	
Maine	14.3	14.8		19	19	
Maryland	38.7	43.6		37	38	
Mass	29.8	29.7		32	32	
Michigan	67.6	67.4		69	71	
Minnesota	29.6	31.8		31	31	
Mississippi	26.7	25.8		36	39	
Missouri	29.2	29.2		29	31	
Montana	19.2	17.3		17	18	
Nebraska	23.4	24.6		24	24	
Nevada	60.3	64.9		74	60	
New Hamp	19.1	21.5		25	30	
New Jersey	32.1	33.2		34	32	
New Mexico	49.8	46.9		38	46	
New York	32.1	30.5		31	29	
North Carolina	23.8	26.4		28	30	
North Dakota	7.3	11.6		11	12	
Ohio	36.9	38.6		43	45	
Oklahoma	37.9	36.4		38	38	
Oregon	50.7	51.1		41	47	
Penn.	24.3	25.1		25	25	
Rhode Is.	26.1	21.4		30	27	
South Carolina	41.4	41.3		43	46	
South Dakota	23.7	17.7		27	32	
Tennessee	42.6	47		45	46	
Texas	51.1	51.6		48	47	
Utah	23.2	25.3		24	29	
Vermont	19.3	21.8		23	23	
Virginia	27.2	26.5		27	27	
W. Virginia	18.5	18.9		19	19	
Wash D.C.	53.8	52.4		27	31	
Washington	54.9	53.4		57	62	
Wisconsin	18.3	20.1		20	20	
Wyoming	22.4	21.9		24	28	

	Crime Rate For Forcible Rape				
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994
Alabama	33	36	41	35.1	35.2
Alaska	73	92	99	83.8	69
Arizona	41	42	43	37.8	36
Arkansas	43	45	41	42.4	41.9
California	43	42	41	37.7	34.9
Colorado	46	47	47	45.8	43.2
Connecticut	28	29	27	24.4	24.6
Delaware	88	86	86	77	75.6
District of Columbia	50	36	37	56.1	43.7
Florida	52	52	54	53.8	52.3
Georgia	54	42	45	35.4	34.7
Hawaii	32	33	38	33.6	30.4
Idaho	27	29	32	35.3	27.9
Illinois	39	40	37	34.6	33.3
Indiana	38	41	42	39.1	35.6
Iowa	18	21	19	24.4	23.5
Kansas	40	45	41	40.1	37.1
Kentucky	29	35	32	34.3	35.3
Louisiana	42	41	42	42.3	44.6
Maine	20	22	24	31.4	25.6
Maryland	46	46	46	44	40.7
Massachussets	34	32	36	39.8	30.2
Michigan	78	79	80	71.1	70.8
Minnesota	34	40	41	35.2	59.7
Mississippi	44	46	45	42.6	45.4
Missouri	32	34	36	36.2	37
Montana	24	20	25	27.9	27.2
Nebraska	30	28	31	27.8	30.8
Nevada	62	66	63	60.9	68.7
New Hampshire	35	30	38	26.6	35.8
New Jersey	30	29	31	28.1	24.9
New Mexico	50	52	63	52.1	52.4
New York	30	28	28	27.5	25.9
North Carolina	34	35	36	34.3	33
North Dakota	18	18	23	23.5	23.4
Ohio	47	53	52	49.1	47.1
Oklahoma	47	51	48	49.3	49.6
Oregon	47	53	53	51.3	43.2
Pennsylvania	26	29	28	26.5	26.1
Rhode Island	25	31	31	28.6	27.4
South Carolina	54	59	58	52.3	54.3
South Dakota	34	40	52	44.5	42
Tennessee	50	46	47	49.9	49.2
Texas	52	53	53	55	49.5
Utah	38	46	45	44.6	42.2
Vermont	26	31	25	44.4	27.6
Virginia	31	30	31	32.1	28.5
Washington	64	70	72	64.4	60.5
West Virginia	24	23	22	20.1	20.3
Wisconsin	21	25	26	25.2	23.5
Wyoming	30	26	35	34.3	33.6

		Crime Rate For Forcible Rape				
		1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
Alabama		31.7	32.7	32.3		
Alaska		80.3	65.6	66.2		
Arizona		33.6	31.2	32.8		
Arkansas		37.2	41.7	43.5		
California		33.4	32.1	31.6		
Colorado		39.5	46.2	43.1		
Connecticut		23.7	23.1	22.6		
Delaware		80.2	62.6	65		
District of Columbia		52.7	47.9	41.2		
Florida		48.6	52.1	51.9		
Georgia		35.3	32.1	31.1		
Hawaii		28.3	27.5	31.3		
Idaho		28.4	26.3	28.9		
Illinois		36.5	34.2	37.1		
Indiana		33.3	34.1	32.9		
Iowa		21.8	19.7	20.3		
Kansas		36.6	42.6	42.4		
Kentucky		31.9	31.7	33.4		
Louisiana		42.7	41.5	41.3		
Maine		21.4	20.9	20.5		
Maryland		42.2	37.6	35.6		
Massachussets		29	29	26.9		
Michigan		62	57	51.9		
Minnesota		56.2	50	52.2		
Mississippi		39.1	36.1	39		
Missouri		32.1	29.2	28.2		
Montana		25.9	27.1	19.5		
Nebraska		19.4	27.1	24.5		
Nevada		61.2	53.4	59.9		
New Hampshire		29	34.8	33.7		
New Jersey		24.3	24.7	21.5		
New Mexico		56.6	63.5	50.4		
New York		23.7	23	22.5		
North Carolina		32.2	31.3	31.6		
North Dakota		22.8	24.1	24.8		
Ohio		43.4	41.3	40.8		
Oklahoma		44.6	46.8	45.7		
Oregon		41.7	39.7	40.3		
Pennsylvania		25.2	25.3	27.4		
Rhode Island		27	29	36.8		
South Carolina		47.3	49.2	48.9		
South Dakota		41	41	48.4		
Tennessee		47.1	46.5	56.9		
Texas		45.7	43.8	41.2		
Utah		42.7	41.8	47.5		
Vermont		28.2	27	26.5		
Virginia		27.2	26.7	27		
Washington		59.2	51.1	51.4		
West Virginia		21.2	19.6	19.5		
Wisconsin		23.3	21	20.3		
Wyoming		34.4	29.1	28.5		

Women are the prey. At any given moment we may be attacked and vanquished because our assailant has spent his time watching us and insuring when he attacks no one will be around. This is what happened to Meshia Samuel of South Carolina, Maria Cabuenos of Pennsylvania and Dawn Rachell Bruce of Virginia. Only the result of their rape is they were left to die after their assailant raped them. Their assailants were malevolent spirits. James Jamal Williams, 32, cried in court June 2006 when he was found guilty of the rape and murder of Meshia Samuel yet he used a master key to enter her aunt's apartment to rape and murder the 17 year old. He had no mercy he left her to bleed to death on the floor of her aunt's apartment. He waited and watched until he could attack her and no one would be around. Hopefully the jury will sentence him to death. He stabbed this child 26 times in the neck August 2004. He was able to watch Meshia Samuel because her aunt lived across the hall from Mr. Williams. Mr. Williams was supposed to be in prison for violating his parole but he was allowed to bond out of jail for \$15,000. He was in court of a kidnapping charge but was allowed to post bond. Once a person violates parole they should be returned to prison as a matter of course. No Bond!! Maria Cabuenos lived in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. She worked in a laboratory. She was car jacked March 1997. It was her routine to leave home at 5 am. to get to work. She was reported missing March 15, 1997.

Authorities believe she was carjacked after encountering Arthur Bomar on the road. When Arthur Bomar, 38, a parolee from Nevada, was apprehended he was driving her car and driving with a gun in the car. Maria Cabuenos' remains were found New Year's Day 1998 in Bucks County. Mr. Bomar used an alias to disguise himself. His girlfriend did not lie for him. She told authorities he began driving the car in March and she saw dried blood in the trunk. Ms. Cabuenos' watch was found in her assailant's home. Mr. Bomar had actively violated the Uniform Criminal Extradition act several times but he was still at large. He did not visit his parole officer a clear sign Mr. Bomar had no intentions of changing his behavior. Dawn Rachelle Bruce, 22 lived in Virginia. She was murdered in her apartment March 19, 1990. She was stabbed in heart and left to die. Her mother found her body. The police arrested her assailant July 10, 1990. See how smart he was? Little did Mr. Knight know after the murder he only had 113 days of freedom left. Then he would be in a court room fighting for his life. Robert Douglas Knight, 28, an onlooker with nothing to offer a woman. He had no ambition and no dream he was following. He made romantic suggestions to Ms. Bruce numerous times she rejected him. He was angry with her because she rejected him. She knew he had nothing to offer her except children he was not going to support whereas she wanted a future. Her dreams were worth working two jobs.

What did she want with him she had graduated from college? He was a vagabond waiting with no direction. He watched her and knew her habits. He knew when she left home and when she returned from her two jobs as a secretary and a waitress. He waited until March 19th to strike. He too thought his girlfriend would give him an alibi but he was sadly mistaken. His girlfriend told authorities he was not with her when Ms. Bruce was murdered. To avoid the death penalty he pleaded guilty to rape, murder and breaking and entering. He was a man with an impish heart. Rape is a dastardly deed committed by men filled with rage born from the hearts of fearful men. Dawn Bruce's terror is over his terror is just beginning when will he be set up by men in prison bigger than he. April 5, 2001 the people of San Francisco, California were told living among them was a man suspected of 40 rapes and now the police had DNA proof that he had murdered six women from 1980 to 1986. The police do not know his name because the man has never been apprehended.

There is another such case in Wisconsin. DNA evidence was taken from six women and after a lengthy investigation it was learned all the DNA evidence match one unnamed offender living among us. As you can see California and Wisconsin have a rapist at large living among them. The above rapes are committed by rapists that are at large in our country. In Chapter Two we will discuss eight rapists who were at large in Colorado, Ohio, Connecticut, Florida, Kansas, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Nevada, New Jersey, New York, Oklahoma, Texas and Wisconsin; eight rapists who were accused or convicted of killing 50 women and children.

